

Medical Policy



**Title: **Computed Tomographic Angiography (CTA) and
Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA)
of the Head, Neck, Abdomen, Pelvis, and Extremities****

See also: CTA and MRA of the Chest (excluding the heart)

Professional	Institutional
Original Effective Date: December 15, 2008	Original Effective Date: December 15, 2008
Revision Date(s):	Revision Date(s):
Current Effective Date: December 15, 2008	Current Effective Date: December 15, 2008

DESCRIPTION

Computed tomographic angiography (CTA) uses a computerized analysis of x-ray images (enhanced by contrast material injected into a peripheral vein) to visualize the blood flow in arterial and venous structures throughout the body. Radiation exposure should be taken into account when considering the use of this technology.

Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) is a technique for imaging vascular anatomy and pathology that does not use ionizing radiation. MRA is performed using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) machines, and vascular images may be generated either with or without intravenous contrast agents, depending on the clinical application. However, the contrast agents used for MRA are associated with less risk of allergic reaction or nephrotoxicity than those used for conventional angiography. MRA is the general term used to describe MR imaging of vascular structures, but when MR is used to image a vein instead of an artery, the term "magnetic resonance venography" (MRV) may be used. The technical capabilities of current MRA make it most suitable for evaluation of medium-to-large size vessels. In the head, this includes the Circle of Willis and major posterior circulation vessels, while in the body this includes the aorta and its major arterial branches such as carotid, renal, hepatic and mesenteric arteries. MRA is less suitable for providing detailed information about the small, peripheral vasculature.

POLICY

(Diagnosis alone is not sufficient documentation of medical necessity. The clinical record should provide documentation of medical necessity.)

1. CTA or MRA of the head may be considered medically necessary for the assessment of:
 - a. patients suspected of having steno-occlusive disease of the mid or large size intracranial arteries

- b. patients suspected of having cerebral aneurysm
 - c. patients suspected of having intracranial vascular malformation
 - d. patients suspected of having cerebral venous sinus compression or thrombosis,
or
 - e. patients with pulsatile tinnitus
2. CTA or MRA of the neck may be considered medically necessary for the assessment of :
- a. patients suspected of having carotid stenosis or occlusion, or
 - b. patients suspected of having cervicocranial arterial dissection
3. CTA or MRA of the abdomen / pelvis may be considered medically necessary for the assessment of patients with the following clinical indications in whom angiography would otherwise be indicated and in whom a negative CTA or MRA would obviate the need for angiography:
- a. patients suspected of having renal artery stenosis:
 - 1) patients with documented hypertension associated with any of the following clinical scenarios
 - a) abrupt onset
 - b) accelerated progression
 - c) onset of hypertension before age 20
 - d) refractory to at least 2 conventional medications
 - 2) renal insufficiency that is either unexplained or induced by the angiotension–converting enzyme inhibitors
 - 3) unilateral small kidney
 - 4) renal artery bruits
 - b. patients with suspected chronic mesenteric ischemia
 - c. patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm or dissection
 - d. patients requiring evaluation of the portal and/or hepatic venous system
 - e. patients requiring evaluation of the systemic venous system
 - f. patients planning for renal tumor resection
 - g. surgical planning for kidney donor
4. CTA or MRA of the pelvis / lower extremities may be considered medically necessary for the assessment of patients with the following clinical indications:
- a. patients with suspected atherosclerotic disease of the lower extremity in whom angiography would otherwise be indicated and in whom CTA or MRA would obviate the need for angiography
 - b. patients with known atherosclerotic disease of the lower extremity who are being evaluated for bypass surgery and in whom angiography fails to identify runoff vessels suitable for bypass
 - c. assessment of significant ischemia in the presence of ulcers or gangrene or symptoms of significant claudication

- d. assessment of disease of large vessels: aneurysm, dissection, A-V malformation, fistulas, or vasculitis
 - e. arterial entrapment syndrome
5. CTA or MRA of the upper extremities may be considered medically necessary for the assessment of patients with the following clinical indications:
- a. evaluation of a dialysis graft
 - b. Raynaud's syndrome
 - c. arterial entrapment syndrome
 - d. suspected aneurysm, A-V malformation, fistula, vasculitis or intramural hematoma

RATIONALE

CTA or MRA of the pelvis and lower extremities has emerged as an important tool for surgical planning, particularly to identify patent distal run-off vessels when surgical revascularization is considered. (4–7) In addition, MRA has been widely used to evaluate the recurrent symptoms in patients who have undergone either angioplasty or surgical revascularization. A meta-analysis of 34 studies conducted by Koelemay et al. (8) found that MRA was accurate for identifying stenosis (>50%) or occlusions in the aorto-iliac, femoropopliteal, and infrapopliteal regions. Baum et al. (9) found that MRA is more sensitive for identifying runoff vessels compared with conventional angiography. Use of vessels visible only on MRA for bypass surgery provides an opportunity for limb salvage and when compared with bypass to angiographically visible vessels, graft-patency and limb-salvage outcomes are similar. (10) These roles of MRA are recognized by the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria. (11)

Diagnostic performance of MRA of the abdomen for evaluation of renal anatomy in potential living renal donors has improved with the evolution of contrast-enhanced MRA techniques. Recent studies have shown contrast-enhanced MRA to have good sensitivity and specificity for detection of renal arterial and venous anomalies. Three studies reported sensitivity and specificity of 90% or higher for renal arterial anatomy. (12–14) One study examined the ability of contrast-enhanced MRA to detect arterial, venous, ureteral, or parenchymal anomalies during the presurgical evaluation process for laparoscopic nephrectomy. (15) This study found that preoperative MRA agreed completely with surgical findings in 21 of 28 cases (75%). In this study, the laparoscopic surgical procedure was successful in 27 of 28 cases (96%) and only 1 case required conversion to open nephrectomy, suggesting that some oversights on MRA may not be clinically significant. Furthermore, studies comparing contrast-enhanced MRA to alternatives such as computed tomographic angiography (CTA) and digital subtraction angiography have reported comparable results. (14, 16–18) However, concerns have been raised regarding the ability of MRA or CTA to detect mild or distal-moderate fibromuscular dysplasia (FMD) that can be seen on conventional renal angiography. (19) The prevalence of FMD is about 2% to 6.6% in angiographic case series, and it is unclear

what effect donor nephrectomy may have on the subsequent development of hypertension in asymptomatic potential renal donors who have silent FMD. (19)

CODING

The following codes for treatment and procedures applicable to this policy are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.

CPT/HCPCS

70496	Computed tomographic angiography, head, with contrast material(s), including noncontrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
70498	Computed tomographic angiography, neck, with contrast material(s), including noncontrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
70544	Magnetic resonance angiography, head; without contrast material (s)
70545	Magnetic resonance angiography, head; with contrast material(s)
70546	Magnetic resonance angiography, head; without contrast material(s), followed by contrast material(s) and further sequences
70547	Magnetic resonance angiography, neck; without contrast material(s)
70548	Magnetic resonance angiography, neck; with contrast material(s)
70549	Magnetic resonance angiography, neck; without contrast material (s), followed by contrast material (s) and further sequences
72191	Computed tomographic angiography, pelvis, with contrast material(s), including noncontrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
72198	Magnetic resonance angiography, pelvis, with or without contrast material(s)
73206	Computed tomographic angiography, upper extremity, with contrast material(s), including noncontrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
73225	Magnetic resonance angiography, upper extremity, with or without contrast material (s)
73706	Computed tomographic angiography, lower extremity, with contrast material(s), including noncontrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
73725	Magnetic resonance angiography, lower extremity, with or without contrast material(s)
74175	Computed tomographic angiography, abdomen, with contrast material(s), including noncontrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
74185	Magnetic resonance angiography, abdomen, with or without contrast material(s)
75635	Computed tomographic angiography, abdominal aorta and bilateral iliofemoral lower extremity runoff, with contrast material(s), including noncontrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
C8900	Magnetic resonance angiography with contrast, abdomen
C8901	Magnetic resonance angiography without contrast, abdomen
C8902	Magnetic resonance angiography without contrast followed by with contrast, abdomen
C8912	Magnetic resonance angiography with contrast, lower extremity
C8913	Magnetic resonance angiography without contrast, lower extremity
C8914	Magnetic resonance angiography without contrast followed by with contrast, lower extremity

- C8918 Magnetic resonance angiography with contrast, pelvis
 C8919 Magnetic resonance angiography without contrast, pelvis

ICD-9 DIAGNOSIS

- 388.30- Tinnitus, code range
 388.32
 430 Subarachnoid hemorrhage
 433.0- Occlusion and stenosis of precerebral arteria, code range
 433.9
 434.00- Occlusion of cerebral arteries, code range
 434.91
 437.0 Cerebral arteriosclerosis
 437.3 Cerebral aneurysm, nonruptured
 441.3 Abdominal aneurysm, ruptured
 441.4 Abdominal aneurysm without mention of rupture
 441.5 Aortic aneurysm of unspecified site, ruptured
 441.6 Thoracoabdominal aneurysm, ruptured
 441.7 Thoracoabdominal aneurysm without mention of rupture
 441.9 Aortic aneurysm of unspecified site without mention of rupture
 452 Portal vein thrombosis
 453.0 Budd-Chiari syndrome
 459.2 Compression of vein
 557 Vascular insufficiency of intestine
 571 Chronic liver disease and cirrhosis
 747.81 Anomalies of cerebrovascular system
 747.89 Other specified anomalies of circulatory system (Aneurysm, congenital, specified site not elsewhere classified)

REVISIONS

12-15-2008	The CTA and MRA of the Head, Neck, Abdomen, Pelvis, and Extremities medical policy is a new free-standing policy developed from portions of the Computed Tomographic Angiography (CTA) medical policy – effective date July 30, 2007 and the Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA) medical policy – effective date May 1, 2007.
------------	--

REFERENCES

1. TEC Assessments 1997: Tab 1
2. TEC Assessments 1996: Tab 31
3. TEC Assessments 1996: Tab 32.
4. Yucel EK, Anderson CM, Edelman RR et al. AHA Scientific Statement. Magnetic resonance angiography: update of applications for extracranial arteries. *Circulation* 1999; 100(22):2284-301.
5. Ho VB, Corse WR. MR angiography of the abdominal aorta and peripheral vessels. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2003; 41(1):115-44.
6. Rajagopalan S, Prince M. Magnetic resonance angiographic techniques for the diagnosis of arterial disease. *Cardiol Clin* 2002; 20(4):501-12.

7. Goyen M, Ruehm SG, Debatin JF. MR angiography for assessment of peripheral vascular disease. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2002; 40(4):835-46.
8. Koelemay MJ, Lijmer JG, Stoker J et al. Magnetic resonance angiography for the evaluation of lower extremity arterial disease: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2001; 285(10):1338-45.
9. Baum RA, Rutter CM, Sunshine JH et al. Multicenter trial to evaluate vascular magnetic resonance angiography of the lower extremity. *JAMA* 1995; 274(11):875-80.
10. Carpenter JP, Golden MA, Barker CF et al. The fate of bypass grafts to angiographically occult runoff vessels detected by magnetic resonance angiography. *J Vasc Surg* 1996; 23(3):483-9.
11. American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria.
www.acr.org/dyna/?doc=departments/appropriateness_criteria/toc.html
12. Fink C, Hallscheidt PJ, Hosch WP et al. Preoperative evaluation of living renal donors: value of contrast-enhanced 3D magnetic resonance angiography and comparison of three rendering algorithms. *Eur Radiol* 2003; 13(4):794-801.
13. Jha RC, Korangy SJ, Ascher SM et al. MR angiography and preoperative evaluation for laparoscopic donor nephrectomy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2002; 178(6):1489-95.
14. Rankin SC, Jan W, Koffman CG. Noninvasive imaging of living related kidney donors: evaluation with CT angiography and gadolinium-enhanced MR angiography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001; 177(2):349-55.
15. Israel GM, Lee VS, Edye M et al. Comprehensive MR imaging in the preoperative evaluation of living donor candidates for laparoscopic nephrectomy: initial experience. *Radiology* 2002; 225(2):427-32.
16. Giessing M, Kroencke TJ, Taupitz M et al. Gadolinium-enhanced three-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography versus conventional digital subtraction angiography: which modality is superior in evaluating living kidney donors? *Transplantation* 2003; 76(6):1000-2.
17. Halpern EJ, Mitchell DG, Wechsler RJ et al. Preoperative evaluation of living renal donors: comparison of CT angiography and MR angiography. *Radiology* 2000; 216(2):434-9.
18. Hussain SM, Kock MC, IJzermans JN et al. MR imaging: a "one-stop shop" modality for preoperative evaluation of potential living kidney donors. *Radiographics* 2003; 23(2):505-20.
19. Andreoni KA, Weeks SM, Gerber DA et al. Incidence of donor renal fibromuscular dysplasia: does it justify routine angiography? *Transplantation* 2002; 73(7):1112-6.
20. Brenner DJ, Hall EJ. Computed tomography--an increasing source of radiation exposure. *N Engl J Med*. 2007; 357(22):2277-2284.
21. Long A, Lepoutre A, Corbillon E, Branchereau A. Critical review of non- or minimally invasive methods (duplex ultrasonography, MR- and CT-angiography) for evaluating stenosis of the proximal internal carotid artery. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2002; 24(1):43-52.
22. Marks MP, Lane B, Steinberg GK, Chang PJ. Magnetic Resonance Angiography in relatives of patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage study group. Risk and benefits of screening for intracranial aneurysms in first-degree relatives of patients with sporadic subarachnoid hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med*. 1999; 341(18):1344-1350.
23. Carman TL, Olin JW, Czum J. Noninvasive imaging of the renal arteries. *Urol Clin North Am*. 2001 28(4): 815-826.
24. Kouskouras C, Charitanti A, Giavroglou C, et al. Intracranial aneurysms: evaluation using CTA and MRA. Correlation with DSA and intraoperative findings. *Neuroradiology*. 2004; 46(10):842-850.

25. American College of Radiology. "Radiologic Investigation of Patients with Renovascular Hypertension," ACT Appropriateness Criteria™, 2003. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria.aspx. Accessed on December 6, 2007.
26. American College of Radiology. ACT Appropriateness Criteria™, Acute chest pain-suspected pulmonary embolism. 2005. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria.aspx. Accessed on December 6, 2007.
27. American College of Radiology. ACT Appropriateness Criteria™, Acute chest pain-suspected aortic dissection, 2005. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria.aspx. Accessed on December 6, 2007.
28. American College Of Radiology. ACR Practice Guideline for the performance of pediatric and adult cerebrovascular magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). 2005. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/guidelines.aspx. Accessed on December 06, 2007.
29. American College of Radiology. ACT Appropriateness Criteria,™ Cerebrovascular Disease. 2006. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria.aspx. Accessed on December 07, 2007.