Medical Policy

BlueCross BlueShield of Kansas
An independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association

Title: Proprotein Convertase Subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) Inhibitors

- **Prime Therapeutics will review Prior Authorization requests**
  Prior Authorization Form:
  http://www.bcbsks.com/CustomerService/Forms/pdf/PriorAuth-6364KS-PCSK.pdf

  Link to Drug List (Formulary):
  https://www.bcbsks.com/drugs/

Professional
Original Effective Date: August 2, 2015
Revision Date(s): August 2, 2015; September 6, 2015; January 1, 2016; March 11, 2016; June 1, 2016; August 15, 2016; February 1, 2017; July 1, 2017; January 1, 2018; October 1, 2018
Current Effective Date: October 1, 2018

Institutional
Original Effective Date August 2, 2015
Revision Date(s): August 2, 2015; September 6, 2015; January 1, 2016; March 11, 2016; June 1, 2016; August 15, 2016; February 1, 2017; July 1, 2017; January 1, 2018; October 1, 2018
Current Effective Date: October 1, 2018

DESCRIPTION

The intent of the PCSK9 inhibitor Prior Authorization (PA) with quantity limit program is to appropriately select patients for therapy according to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved product labeling and/or clinical practice guidelines and/or clinical studies.

This program will require the patient to have a confirmed diagnosis of Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (HoFH), Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (HeFH), or clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD). The criteria will require the patient is currently receiving maximally tolerated statin therapy and is compliant. The program will not require use of a statin if the patient has a history of intolerance to two different statins or an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin. The program requires the use of the preferred agent(s) prior to the non-preferred agent(s) unless the prescriber has documented that the patient had an inadequate response to, intolerance to, FDA labeled contraindication to, or hypersensitivity to the preferred agent(s). The criteria will limit all agents to the maximum FDA approved dose for any indication.

Target Agents

Preferred Agent	Non-Preferred Agent
▪ **Repatha®** (evolocumab)	▪ **Praluent®** (alirocumab)

FDA Indications and Dosing[19,20]

Agent(s)	Indications*	Strength(s)	Dosing and Administration
Praluent® (alirocumab)	Adjunctive therapy to diet and maximally tolerated statins for treatment of adults with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) or clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease who require additional lowering of LDL cholesterol†	75 mg /mL pre-filled pen and syringe 150 mg/mL pre-filled pen and syringe	**Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease or HeFH**: 75 mg SC^ once every 2 weeks. May increase dose up to 150 mg SC every 2 weeksif the LDL-C response is inadequate±
Repatha® (evolocumab)	To reduce the risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, and coronary revascularization in adults with established cardiovascular disease Adjunct to diet, alone or in combination with other lipid lowering therapies (e.g., statins, ezetimibe), for treatment of adults with primary hyperlipidemia (including heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) to reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol Adjunct to diet and other LDL-lowering therapies (e.g., statins, ezetimibe, LDL apheresis) in patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HoFH) who require additional lowering of LDL-C	140 mg/mL prefilled pen and autoinjector 420 mg/3.5 mL Pushtronex system (infusor with pre-filled cartridge)	**Adult with established cardiovascular disease or Primary hyperlipidemia with CVD or HeFH**: 140 mg SC every 2 weeks or 420 mg SC monthly **HoFH**: 420 mg SC once monthly
*Limitation of use: The effect of Praluent on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality has not been determined			

± LDL-C levels should be measured within 4 to 8 weeks of initiating or titrating alirocumab, to assess response and adjust the dose, if needed
^ Sub-cutaneous
† The American College of Cardiologists defines clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease as having history of current acute coronary syndrome, myocardial infarction (MI), stable or unstable angina, coronary or other arterial revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or peripheral arterial disease presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin.[29]

POLICY

Prior Authorization and Quantity Limits Criteria for Approval

Initial Evaluation

Target Agents will be approved when ALL of the following are met:

1. The patient has ONE of the following:
    A. A diagnosis of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) confirmed by ONE of the following:
        i. Genetic confirmation of one mutant allele at the *LDLR, Apo-B, PCSK9*, or *ARH* adaptor protein *1/LDLRAP1* gene locus
        OR
        ii. BOTH of the following:
            1. ONE of the following:
                a. Total cholesterol greater than 290 mg/dL (>7.5 mmol/L) (pretreatment or highest level while on treatment)
                OR
                b. LDL-C greater than 190 mg/dL (>4.9 mmol/L) (pretreatment or highest level while on treatment)
            AND
            2. History of tendon xanthomas in ONE of the following:
                a. The patient
                OR
                b. The patient's first degree relative (i.e. parent, sibling, or child)
                OR
                c. The patient's second degree relative (i.e. grandparent, uncle, or aunt)
        OR
        iii. The Patient has a Dutch Lipid Clinic Network Criteria score of greater than 8
    OR
    B. A diagnosis of homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HoFH), confirmed by ONE of the following:
        i. Genetic confirmation of two mutant alleles at the *LDLR, Apo-B, PCSK9*, or *ARH* adaptor protein *1/LDLRAP1* gene locus
        OR

ii. Untreated LDL-C >500 mg/dL (>13 mmol/L) or treated LDL-C ≥ 300 mg/dL (≥7.76 mmol/L) with ONE of the following:
1. The patient had cutaneous or tendon xanthoma before age 10 years
OR
2. Untreated elevated cholesterol levels consistent with heterozygous FH in both parents [untreated LDL-C >190 mg/dL (>4.9 mmol/L) or untreated total cholesterol greater than 290 mg/dL (>7.5 mmol/L)]

OR

C. A diagnosis of clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) defined as having ONE of the following:
i. Acute coronary syndrome
ii. History of myocardial infarction
iii. Stable or unstable angina
iv. Coronary or other arterial revascularization
v. Stroke
vi. Transient ischemic attack
vii. Peripheral arterial disease presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin

AND

2. ONE of the following:
A. The patient is currently adherent (for the past 90 days) to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg, atorvastatin 40-80 mg, or simvastatin 80 mg)
OR
B. BOTH of the following:
i. The patient has tried and is intolerant to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg and atorvastatin 40-80mg)
AND
ii. The patient is currently adherent (for the past 90 days) to low or moderate intensity statin therapy
OR
C. The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin)
OR
D. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin

AND

3. ONE of the following:
A. The patient has not achieved a 50% reduction in LDL-C from baseline while on a maximally tolerated statin
OR
B. The patient has an LDL-C ≥70 mg/dL (≥1.81 mmol/L) evaluated within the past 90 days

AND

4. ONE of the following:
   A. The patient is not currently taking another PSCK9 agent
      OR
   B. The other PCSK9 agent will be discontinued before starting therapy with the requested agent

   AND
5. The agent is being prescribed by a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis (e.g. cardiologist, endocrinologist, or lipid specialist) or in consultation with a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis

   AND
6. The patient does not have any FDA labeled contraindication(s) to therapy with the requested agent

   AND
7. ONE of the following:
   A. The request is for a preferred agent
      OR
   B. The request is for a non-preferred agent AND ONE of the following:
      i. The patient has tried and had an inadequate response to the preferred agent
         OR
      ii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to the preferred agent

   AND
8. ONE of the following:
   A. The quantity requested is less than or equal to the program quantity limit
      OR
   B. The quantity (dose) requested is within FDA approved labeling and the prescribed dose cannot be achieved using a lesser quantity of a higher strength that does not exceed the program quantity limit

Length of Approval: 12 months

Renewal Evaluation

Target Agents will be approved for renewal when ALL of the following criteria are met:

1. The patient has been previously approved for therapy with the requested agent through Prime Therapeutics PA process

   AND
2. ONE of the following:
   A. The request is for a preferred agent
      OR
   B. The request is for a non-preferred agent

i. The patient has tried and had an inadequate response to the preferred agent
OR
ii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to the preferred agent

AND

3. The patient has shown clinical benefit with the requested agent.
AND
4. The patient is currently adherent (for the past 90 days) to therapy with the requested agent
AND
5. ONE of the following:
   A. The patient is currently (for the past 90 days) to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg, atorvastatin 40-80 mg, or simvastatin 80 mg)
   OR
   B. BOTH of the following:
      i. The patient has tried and is intolerant to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg and atorvastatin 40-80mg)
      AND
      ii. The patient is currently adherent (for the past 90 days) to low or moderate intensity statin therapy
   OR
   C. The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin)
   OR
   D. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin
AND
6. ONE of the following:
   A. The patient is not currently taking another PCSK9 agent
   OR
   B. The patient will discontinue the current PCSK9 agent before starting therapy with the requested agent
AND
7. The agent is being prescribed by a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis (e.g. cardiologist, endocrinologist, lipid specialist) or in consultation with a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis
AND
8. The patient does not have any FDA Labeled contraindication(s) to therapy with the requested agent
AND
9. ONE of the following:
   A. The prescribed dosage is within the program limit (FDA approved labeled dosage)

OR

B. The quantity (dose) requested is within FDA approved labeling and the prescribed dose cannot be achieved using a lesser quantity of a higher strength that does not exceed the program quantity limit

Length of approval: 12 months

Prior Authorization and Quantity Limit Target Drugs – Recommended Limits	
Brand (generic)	**Quantity Limit**
Praluent (alirocumab)	
75 mg/mL pre-filled pen	1 package of 2 pens/28 days
75 mg/mL pre-filled syringe	1 package of 2 syringes/28 days
150 mg/mL pre-filled pen	1 package of 2 pens/28 days
150 mg/mL pre-filled syringe	1 package of 2 syringes/28 days
Repatha (evolocumab)	
140 mg/mL pre-filled syringe	2 syringes/28 days
140 mg/mL pre-filled autoinjector	2 pens/28 days
420 mg/3.5 mL single-use Pushtronex system (infusor with pre-filled cartridge)	1 Pushtronex system/30 days

Agent(s)	Contraindication(s)
Praluent (alirocumab)	History of a serious hypersensitivity reaction to Praluent (alirocumab)
Repatha (evolocumab)	History of a serious hypersensitivity reaction to Repatha (evolocumab)

RATIONALE

Praluent (alirocumab)

Alirocumab is a monoclonal antibody PCSK9 inhibitor. Its efficacy was evaluated in five phase III clinical trials in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) or non-HeFH patients with clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. All patients were receiving maximally tolerated statins with or without other lipid lowering agents and required additional LDL-C reduction.[19] All the phase III trials had the same primary efficacy outcome: mean percent change in LDL-C from baseline measured at 24 weeks of therapy.[19]

The first trial (LONG-TERM), was a double blinded placebo controlled trial evaluating alirocumab 150 mg every 2 weeks (n=1553) versus placebo (n=788). The difference in mean percent change in LDL-C at 24 weeks between alirocumab and placebo was -58% (95 CI: -61%, -56%; p-value <0.0001). A second trial (COMBO I), randomized 209 and 107 subjects to the alirocumab and placebo arms respectively. The alirocumab group received an initial dose of 75 mg every two weeks; the dose was up titrated to 150 mg every 2 weeks at 12 weeks of treatment if the patient required additional LDL-C lowering. The percent change for the alirocumab versus placebo arm was – 45% versus -1% at 12 weeks respectively and -44% versus -2% at 24 weeks respectively. The

third (FH I) and forth (FH II) trials enrolled patients with HeFH and randomized them to receive either alirocumab (n= 490) or placebo (n= 245). Those in the alirocumab group received a dose of 75 mg every 2 weeks which was then up titrated to 150 mg every 2 weeks at 12 weeks if additional LDL reduction was required. 42% (n= 196) of the subjects in the alirocumab group required a titration to 150 mg every 2 weeks. The difference in primary outcome (mean percent change in LDL-C) at 24 weeks between the alirocumab and placebo was -54% (95% CI: -59%, -50%; p-value: <0.0001). The fifth efficacy trial (HIGH-FH) enrolled subjects with HeFH and LDL-C greater than or equal to 160 mg/dL while treated with maximally tolerated statins with or without other lipid lowering agents. 72 subjects were enrolled in the alirocumab group (dose: 150 mg every 2 weeks) and 35 subjects in the placebo group. The difference in treatment between the two groups at 24 weeks was -36% (95% CI: -49%, -24%; p-value: <0.0001).

Safety of alirocumab was evaluated in nine clinical trials. The most common adverse events leading to discontinuation of therapy were allergic reactions and elevated liver enzymes. These adverse events resulted in discontinuation of therapy in 5.3% of the study subjects receiving alirocumab compared to 5.1% of patients on placebo. Other adverse events reported included injection site reactions and neurocognitive impairment including confusion and memory impairment (0.8% in the alirocumab versus 0.7% in the placebo).

A preliminary presentation of the ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial showed that in 18,924 post-ACS patients, after 4 years of therapy with alirocumab vs. placebo, the following were observed:[31]

Endpoint, n (%)	Alirocumab (N=9462)	Placebo (N=9462)	HR (95% CI)	Log-rank P-value
MACE	903 (9.5)	1052 (11.1)	0.85 (0.78, 0.93)	0.0003
CHD death	205 (2.2)	222 (2.3)	0.92 (0.76, 1.11)	0.38
Non-fatal MI	626 (6.6)	722 (7.6)	0.86 (0.77, 0.96)	0.006
Ischemic stroke	111 (1.2)	152 (1.6)	0.73 (0.57, 0.93)	0.01
Unstable angina	37 (0.4)	60 (0.6)	0.61 (0.41, 0.92)	0.02

MACE – Major Adverse Cardiac Event
CHD – Coronary Heart Disease
MI – Myocardial Infarction

All cause death between alirocumab and placebo was only statistically significant for individuals with baseline LDL-C ≥100 mg/dL:[31]

Baseline LDL-C (mg/dL)	Alirocumab vs. Placebo Hazard Ratio (95% CI)
<80	0.89 (0.69, 1.14)
80 to <100	1.03 (0.78, 1.36)
≥100	0.71 (0.56, 0.90)

Individuals with a baseline LDL-C ≥100 mg/dL (median 118 mg/dL) showed the most absolute risk reduction vs. placebo in the following:[31]

Endpoint, n (%)	Alirocumab (N=9462)	Placebo (N=9462)	Absolute risk reduction (%)	HR (95% CI)
MACE	324 (11.5)	420 (14.9)	3.4	0.76 (0.65, 0.87)
CHD death	69 (2.5)	96 (3.4)	1.0	0.72 (0.53, 0.98)
CV death	81 (2.9)	117 (4.2)	1.3	0.69 (0.52, 0.92)
All-cause death	114 (4.1)	161 (5.7)	1.7	0.71 (0.56, 0.90)

Repatha (evolocumab)

Evolocumab is a fully IgG2 monoclonal antibody PCSK9 inhibitor. It is indicated for LDL-C reduction in patients with familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH and HoFH) as well in patients with clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Efficacy for use in patients with clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease was evaluated in a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Subjects (n=296) were randomized to receive evolocumab 140 mg every two weeks, evolocumab 420 mg once a month, or placebo. The primary outcome was mean percent change in LDL-C from baseline. The difference in the primary outcome between evolocumab and placebo at week 12 "was -71% (95% CI: -81%, -61%; p < 0.0001) and -63% (95% CI: -76%, -50%; p < 0.0001) for the 140 mg every 2 weeks and 420 mg once monthly dosages, respectively".[20] A second double blinded, placebo controlled, trial enrolled 139 subjects with clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; the primary outcome was to evaluate mean percent change in LDL-C from baseline. Subjects received evolocumab 420 mg once monthly or placebo. The "difference between evolocumab 420 mg once monthly and placebo in mean percent change in LDL-C from baseline at week 52 was -54 % (95% CI: -65%, -42%; p <0.0001)".[20]

Efficacy of evolocumab in HeFH and HoFH was evaluated in two separate double blinded, placebo controlled trials with 329 and 49 subjects respectively. The primary outcome in both trials was mean percent change in LDL-C from baseline. The HeFH trial randomized subjects to receive evolocumab 140 mg every two weeks, evolocumab 420 mg once monthly, or placebo. The difference in mean percent change in LDL-C between evolocumab and placebo at week 12 in the HeFH subjects was -61% (95%CI: -67%, -55%; p < 0.0001) and -60% (95%CI: -68%, -52%; p < 0.0001) for the 140 mg every 2 weeks and 420 mg once monthly dosages, respectively.[20] The HoFH trial had two groups: evolocumab 420 mg once monthly and placebo. The difference in mean percent change in LDL-C from baseline was -31% (95%CI: -44%, -18%; p < 0.0001).

The clinical benefit of evolocumab was evaluated in a phase III trial involving 27564 patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease who had LDL cholesterol levels of 70 mg/dL or higher and who were receiving statin therapy. Patients were randomized to receive either evolocumab (at the FDA approved doses) or placebo. The primary endpoint was the composite of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina or coronary revascularization. Important secondary end points were composite cardiovascular death, MI, or stroke. Relative to placebo, evolocumab treatment significantly reduced the risk of the primary end point (1344 patients [9.8%] vs. 1563 patients [11.3%]; hazard ratio, 0.85; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.79 to 0.92; P<0.001) and key secondary-end point (816[5.9%] vs. 1013 [7.4]; hazard ratio, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.88; P<0.001).[30] The results were consistent across all key subgroups (e.g. age group, sex, and type of atherosclerotic vascular disease). There was no significant difference between the study groups with regard to adverse events. The trial authors concluded that evolocumab in combination with a statin lowered LDL levels and reduced the risk of cardiovascular events.[30]

Safety of evolocumab was evaluated in eight placebo controlled clinical trials (n=2651). The most common side effects were those that occurred greater than or equal to 3% of evolocumab treated patients and included the following: upper respiratory tract infections, injection site reactions, musculoskeletal pain, and gastroenteritis. Injection site reactions were reported in 3.2% of patients on evolocumab. The reports of neurocognitive events were low overall (<1%) but reported more frequently in the evolocumab treated patients.[14]

Alirocumab and evolocumab are indicated for use in combination with maximally tolerated statin therapy however, their safety and efficacy has been evaluated in patients who are not receiving a statin.[25,26,27] Efficacy of alirocumab in patients who are not treated with a statin was evaluated in two double-blind, randomized, phase III clinical trials; the MONO and ALTERNATIVE trials. Both trials had a primary outcome of mean percent change in LDL-C from baseline measured at 24 weeks of therapy. The MONO trial enrolled subjects who were on diet alone without background lipid-modifying therapy (LMT). Subjects were randomized to receive either alirocumab 75 mg every 2 weeks or ezetimibe. The ALTERNATIVE trial enrolled subjects who were statin intolerant and were receiving LMT(s) other than statin or ezetimibe. Subjects in the ALTERNATIVE trial were randomized to either alirocumab 75 mg every 2 weeks or ezetimibe. In both trials, subjects receiving alirocumab were up titrated to 150 mg every 2 weeks at treatment week 12 if they required additional LDL-C reduction. The mean reduction in LDL-C from baseline at week 24 was 45% and 47.2% for the ALTERNATIVE and MONO trials respectively.[25,26] Efficacy of evolocumab in patients not receiving a statin was evaluated in a single randomized, double blind, double dummy clinical trial (MENDEL-2). The goal of the study was to compare evolocumab (bi-weekly or monthly dose) with placebo and oral ezetimibe. Evolocumab reduced LDL-C on average by 55% to 57% more than placebo ($p<0.001$) and 38% to 40% more than ezetimibe ($p<0.001$).[27]

Familial Hypercholesterolemia (FH)

Familial Hypercholesterolemia (FH) is a genetic disorder (autosomal dominant) that causes significantly elevated level of in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and total cholesterol. FH is a deficiency or absence of the LDL-C receptors. It can also be caused by mutations of the apolipoprotein B-100 (apoB-100) binding site on LDL-C receptors. Apolipoprotein B (apo B) is a primary component of LDL-C. Apo B is responsible for carrying cholesterol to other tissues. Deficiencies of the LDL-C receptor are often caused by mutations in the *LDLR* gene. The LDLR gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 19.[3] LDL-C receptors are responsible for about 70% of the uptake of circulating LDL-C molecules into the liver.[1] Reductions in the number of LDL-C receptors leads to an accelerated deposition of cholesterol on the walls of arteries. The arteries then harden and narrow and reduce the flow of blood. This reduction in blood flow can lead to cardiovascular diseases like stroke and myocardial infarction. There are two types of FH: homozygous FH (HoFH) and heterozygous FH (HeFH). Diagnosis of HeFH and HoFH is based on "personal and family history, physical examination, and lipid concentrations".[21]

Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH)

HeFH is the most common dominantly inherited disorder in human beings worldwide with an estimated prevalence between 1 in 250 and 1 in 300 people worldwide.[6] Criteria have been developed to aid in diagnosing HeFH. These include the Simon Broome Register criteria and Dutch Lipid clinic Network criteria.[21] Definitive diagnosis of HeFH according to Simon Broome diagnostic criteria requires the patient has one of the following:[13, 21]

- Total cholesterol greater than 6.7 mmol/L or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) greater than 4.0 mmol/L in a child aged younger than 16 years or greater than 7.5 mmol/L or LDL-C greater than 4.9 mmol/L in an adult (levels either pre-treatment or highest on treatment) **plus** tendon xanthomas in the patient, or in first-degree relative (parent, sibling or child), or in second-degree relative (grandparent, uncle or aunt)
  Or
- DNA-based evidence of an LDL receptor mutation, familial defective Apo B-100, or a PCSK9 mutation

The Dutch Lipid Clinic Network criteria assign points based on cholesterol levels, family history of hyperlipedimia or cardiovascular disease, clinical presentation, and/or presence of identified genetic mutation affecting plasma LDL-C. [21, 22, 23] A definitive diagnosis of HeFH can be made in patients with greater than 8 points. See Table 2 in Policy section.

Dutch Lipid Clinic Network criteria for diagnosis of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia [23]

Group 1: Family history	**Points**
• First-degree relative with known premature (<55 years, men; <60 years, women) coronary heart disease (CHD)	1
• First-degree relative with known LDL cholesterol >95th percentile by age and gender for country	1
• First-degree relative with tendon xanthoma and/or corneal arcus	2
• Children <18 years with LDL cholesterol >95th percentile by age and gender for country	2
Group 2: Clinical history	**Points**
• Subject has premature (<55 years, men; <60 years, women) CHD	2
• Subject has premature (<55 years, men; <60 years, women) cerebral or peripheral vascular disease	1
Group 3: Physical examination	**Points**
• Tendon xanthoma	6
• Corneal arcus in a person <45 years	4
Group 4: Biochemical results (LDL-C)	**Points**
• >8.5 mmol/L (>325 mg/dL)	8
• 6.5–8.4 mmol/L (251–325 mg/dL)	5
• 5.0–6.4 mmol/L (191–250 mg/dL)	3
• 4.0–4.9 mmol/L (155–190 mg/dL)	1
Group 5: Molecular genetic testing (DNA analysis)	**Points**
• Causative mutation shown in the LDLR, APOB, or PCSK9 genes	8
Use and Interpretation	
Assign only one score, the highest applicable, per group then add the points from each group to achieve the total score • Definitive FH diagnosis: >8 points • Probable FH diagnosis: 6 to 8 points • Possible FH diagnosis: 3 to 5 points • Unlikely FH diagnosis: 0 to 2 points	

Homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HoFH)

The prevalence of HoFH is about 1 case per 1 million persons in the United States.[2] There is no known cure for HoFH. Most patients do not survive adulthood beyond age 30 unless treated with liver transplantation, LDL apheresis, or ileal bypass surgery to significantly reduce their LDL-C levels.[5]

Due to the dysfunction of LDL-C receptors, changes in diet and the use of lipid lowering agents only mildly reduce circulating levels of LDL-C. The gold standard of treatment is LDL apheresis, the discriminated removal of LDL-C from the blood stream.

Guidelines advise that diagnosis of HoFH can be made on the basis of genetic or clinical criteria. . Genetic confirmation of the HoFH includes confirmation of two mutant alleles at the LDL-R, APOB, PCSK9, or LDLRAP1 genes.[15, 21] While genetic testing may provide a definitive diagnosis of HoFH, it is recognized that in some patients genetic confirmation remains elusive, despite exhaustive investigation; indeed, the existence of additional FH genes cannot be excluded.

Historically, HoFH has been most commonly diagnosed on the basis of either an untreated LDL-C plasma concentration >13 mmol/L (>500 mg/dL), or a treated LDL-C concentration of ≥8 mmol/L (≥300 mg/dL), accompanied by the presence of cutaneous or tendon xanthomas before the age of 10 years, or the presence of untreated elevated LDL-C levels consistent with HeFH in both parents. [15,21]

The goal of treatment for FH is to reduce the risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) or risk of a CHD-equivalent condition (e.g. carotid artery disease, diabetes, peripheral arterial disease, or abdominal aortic aneurysm).[5] According the American Heart Association (AHA), initial treatment for FH should include a high intensity statin.[28] If the LDL-C is not at goal after 3 months of therapy with the high intensity statin and the patient has been adherent, AHA recommends the addition of ezetimibe. For patients who do not respond to this two drug regimen within 3 months, AHA recommends addition of a PCKS9, a bile acid sequestrant, or niacin. Patients with HoFH who require additional therapy despite treatment with the three drug regimen, AHA recommends addition of Juxtapid or Kynamro and LDL apheresis.[28]

Major Risk Factors[4]

- Cigarette smoking
- Hypertension (BP ≥ 140/90 mmHg or on antihypertensive medication)
- Low HDL cholesterol (< 40 mg/dL)*
- Family history of premature CHD (CHD in male first degree relative < 55 years; CHD in female first degree relative < 65 years)
- Age (men ≥45 years; women ≥55 years)

** HDL cholesterol ≥ 60 mg/dL counts as a "negative" risk factor; its presence removes one risk factor from the total count.*

Risk categories for developing CHD include[4,5]:

- High risk: CHD or CHD risk equivalent (10-year risk >20%)
- Moderately high risk: More than 2 risk factors (10-year risk 10-20%)
- Moderate risk: More than 2 risk factors (10-year risk 10%)
- Lower risk: 0-1 risk factor

Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) – Secondary Prevention

The American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association Prevention Guidelines focus recommendations on ASCVD risk reduction and identify 4 groups of patients that would benefit from statin therapy (see diagram below). These groups are 1) secondary prevention in patients with *clinical* ASCVD (defined as acute coronary syndrome, a history of MI, stable or unstable angina, coronary or other arterial revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack, or peripheral arterial disease presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin), 2) primary prevention in individuals with primary elevations of LDL-C ≥190 mg/dL, 3) primary prevention in individuals with diabetes 40 to 75 years of agent who have LDL-C 70 to 189 mg/dL, and 4) primary prevention in individual without diabetes with an estimated 10-year ASCVD risk ≥7.5%, 40 to 75 years of age who have LDL-C 70 to 189 mg/dL. Evidence supports the risk versus benefit in these patient populations.[16,29]

These guidelines define high, moderate, and low intensity statin therapy for use in secondary and primary prevention (see table below).[16]

High-Intensity Statin Therapy	Moderate-Intensity Statin Therapy	Low-Intensity Statin Therapy
Daily dose lowers LDL-C, on average, by approximately ≥50%	Daily dose lowers LDL-C, on average, by approximately 30% to <50%	Daily dose lowers LDL-C, on average, by <30%
Atorvastatin (40†)–80 mg	**Atorvastatin 10 (*20*) mg**	*Simvastatin 10 mg*
Rosuvastatin 20 (*40*) mg	**Rosuvastatin (*5*) 10 mg**	**Pravastatin 10–20 mg**
	Simvastatin 20–40 mg‡	**Lovastatin 20 mg**
	Pravastatin 40 (*80*) mg	*Fluvastatin 20–40 mg*
	Lovastatin 40 mg	*Pitavastatin 1 mg*
	Fluvastatin XL 80 mg	
	Fluvastatin 40 mg BID	
	Pitavastatin 2–4 mg	

Boldface type indicates specific statins and doses that were evaluated in RCTs[16–18,46–49,64–75,77] included in CQ1, CQ2, and the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists 2010 meta-analysis included in CQ3.[20] All of these RCTs demonstrated a reduction in major cardiovascular events. *Italic type* indicates statins and doses that have been approved by the FDA but were not tested in the RCTs reviewed.

*Individual responses to statin therapy varied in the RCTs and should be expected to vary in clinical practice. There might be a biological basis for a less-than-average response.

†Evidence from 1 RCT only: down-titration if unable to tolerate atorvastatin 80 mg in the IDEAL (Incremental Decrease through Aggressive Lipid Lowering) study.[47]

‡Although simvastatin 80 mg was evaluated in RCTs, initiation of simvastatin 80 mg or titration to 80 mg is not recommended by the FDA because of the increased risk of myopathy, including rhabdomyolysis.

BID indicates twice daily; CQ, critical question; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; and RCTs, randomized controlled trials.

High intensity statin therapy is anticipated to lower LDL-C levels by approximately ≥50% and moderate intensity statin therapy is anticipated to lower LDL-C levels by approximately 30% to < 50%.[17] According to the 2014 *National Committee of Quality Assurance (NCQA) State of Health Care Quality Report* on cholesterol management, the proportion of patients at high CV risk achieving an LDL-C target of less than 100 mg/dL was in the range of 50% to 59%. Only 1 in 4 achieves an LDL-C below 70 mg/dL. Therefore, there may be between 4-12 million Americans who are a very high or high CV risk who fail to achieve adequate LDL-C reduction with statins with or without other lipid-lowering therapy. This number may be misleading as this number includes those who may not be receiving adequate dose level of statin or are nonadherent to statins.[18]

Practitioners are familiar with treating patients to a specific LDL-C or non-HDL-C target. Several guidelines recommend LDL-C goals of < 100 mg/dL (2.8 mmol/L) or < 70 mg/dL (1.8 mmol/L) depending on level ACC-AHA guidelines recommend the appropriate intensity of statin therapy be used to reduce ASCVD risk in patients most likely to benefit citing a lack of randomized controlled trials supporting the use of a specific LDL-C/non-HDL-C target.



Figure 2. Summary of Statin Initiation Recommendations for the Treatment of Blood Cholesterol to Reduce ASCVD Risk in Adults (See Figures 3, 4, and 5 for More Detailed Management Information). Colors correspond to the Classes of Recommendation in Table 1. Assessment of the potential for benefit and risk from statin therapy for ASCVD prevention provides the *framework* for clinical decision making incorporating patient preferences. *Percent reduction in LDL-C can be used as an indication of response and adherence to therapy, but is not in itself a treatment goal. †The Pooled Cohort Equations can be used to estimate 10-year ASCVD risk in individuals with and without diabetes. The estimator within this application should be used to inform decision making in primary prevention patients not on a statin. ‡Consider moderate-intensity statin as more appropriate in low-risk individuals. §For those in whom a risk assessment is uncertain, consider factors such as primary LDL-C ≥160 mg/dL or other evidence of genetic hyperlipidemias, family history of premature ASCVD with onset <55 years of age in a first-degree male relative or <65 years of age in a first-degree female relative, hs-CRP ≥2 mg/L, CAC score ≥300 Agatston units, or ≥75th percentile for age, sex, and ethnicity (for additional information, see http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org/CACReference.aspx), ABI <0.9, or lifetime risk of ASCVD. Additional factors that may aid in individual risk assessment may be identified in the future.

‖Potential ASCVD risk-reduction benefits. The absolute reduction in ASCVD events from moderate- or high-intensity statin therapy can be approximated by multiplying the estimated 10-year ASCVD risk by the anticipated relative-risk reduction from the intensity of statin initiated (~30% for moderate-intensity statin or ~45% for high-intensity statin therapy). The net ASCVD risk-reduction benefit is estimated from the number of potential ASCVD events prevented with a statin, compared to the number of potential excess adverse effects. Potential adverse effects. The excess risk of diabetes is the main consideration in ~0.1 excess cases per 100 individuals treated with a moderate-intensity statin for 1 year and ~0.3 excess cases per 100 individuals treated with a high-intensity statin for 1 year. In RCTs, both statin-treated and placebo-treated participants experienced the same rate of muscle symptoms. The actual rate of statin-related muscle symptoms in the clinical population is unclear. Muscle symptoms attributed to statin therapy should be evaluated (see Table 8, Safety Recommendation 8). ABI indicates ankle-brachial index; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CAC, coronary artery calcium; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MI, myocardial infarction; and RCT, randomized controlled trial.

The 2017 Focused Update of the 2016 American College of Cardiology (ACC) Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on the Role of Non-Statin Therapies for Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL-C) Lowering in the Management of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) Risk recommends the following:

- The addition of non-statin therapy, as either ezetimibe or a PCSK9 inhibitor, to maximally tolerated statin therapy in patients with clinical ASCVD with comorbidities and baseline LDL-C 70-189 mg/dL is reasonable. The additional percent LDL-C reduction desired, patient preferences, route of administration, and other factors should be considered. Clinicians should preferentially prescribe drugs that have been shown in RCTs to provide ASCVD risk reduction benefits that outweigh the potential for adverse effects and drug-drug interactions, and consider patient preference.
- If patients with clinical ASCVD and comorbidities require > 25% additional LDL-C lowering, a PCSK9 inhibitor may be the preferred non-statin agent. The clinician-patient discussion should consider the extent of available scientific evidence for net ASCVD risk reduction, benefit, administration by SC route, and storage requirements.
- If patients with clinical ASCVD without comorbidities, who are on maximally tolerated statin–ezetimibe or non-statin combination therapy (if documented statin intolerance) achieve a less-than-anticipated response with < 50% reduction in LDL-C (may consider LDL-C ≥ 70 mg/dL or non–HDL-C ≥ 100 mg/dL), it is reasonable to engage in a clinician–patient discussion with consideration of the net benefit of a PCSK9 inhibitor (in addition to or in place of ezetimibe) as a second step to achieve further LDL-C reduction. If a PCSK9 inhibitor is prescribed, clinicians should continue maximally tolerated statin and monitoring for adherence to medications and lifestyle, side effects, and ongoing LDL-C response to therapy.
- In the absence of ASCVD or baseline LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL, the committee judges that at present, PCSK9 inhibitors do not have an established role for primary prevention of ASCVD in patients with diabetes.
- No data exist examining the use of non-statin therapies in heart failure patients, and heart failure is an exclusion criterion in recent PCSK9 inhibitor trials.

REVISIONS	
08-02-2015	Policy added to the bcbsks.com web site on 08-06-2015 for a retro-effective date of 08-02-2015.
09-06-2015	Policy published 10-06-2015. Retro-effective to 09-06-2015 when Repatha became effective.
	Updated Description section: ▪ Removed from Target Drug list,"*Pending FDA Approval"

REVISIONS	
	▪ Removed from FDA Indications and Dosing chart, " Proposed FDA Indications and Potential Dosing"
01-01-2016	Policy published 12-30-2015. Effective 01-01-2016.
	Description updated
	▪ In Policy section: ▪ In Initial Evaluation removed "The requested agents" and added "Praluent® (alirocumab) or Repatha™ (evolocumab)" and "ALL of" to read "Praluent® (alirocumab) or RepathaTM (evolocumab) will be approved when ALL of the following are met:" ▪ In Item 1 added policy criteria of "A. A diagnosis of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) confirmed by ONE of the following: i. Genetic confirmation of one mutant allele at the LDLR, Apo-B, PCSK9, or ARH adaptor protein 1/LDLRAP1 gene locus OR ii. BOTH of the following: 1. ONE of the following: a. Total cholesterol greater than 290 mg/dL (>7.5 mmol/L) (pretreatment or highest level while on treatment) OR b. LDL-C greater than 190 mg/dL (>4.9 mmol/L) (pretreatment or highest level while on treatment) AND 2. History of tendon xanthomas in ONE of the following: a. The patient OR b. The patient's first degree relative (i.e. parent, sibling, or child) OR c. The patient's second degree relative (i.e. grandparent, uncle, or aunt) OR iii. The Patient has a Dutch Lipid Clinic Network Criteria score of greater than 8 (see scoring algorithm in Table 2 below) OR" ▪ In Item 1 B ii 2 removed "elevated" and "[untreated total cholesterol >290 mg/dL (7.5 mmol/L) or" to read "Untreated LDL-C levels consistent with heterozygous FH in both parents untreated LDL-C >190 mg/dL (>4.9 mmol/L)] ▪ Removed criteria of "B. Confirmed diagnosis of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) through ONE of the following: i. Pretreatment (prior to any hypercholesterolemia therapy) Total cholesterol > 290 mg/dL) (>7.5 mmol/L) OR ii. Pretreatment (prior to any hypercholesterolemia therapy) LDL-C > 190 mg/dL (>4.9 mmol/L) OR iii. Pretreatment Total cholesterol > 290 mg/dL (>7.5 mmol/Lor LDL-C > 190 mg/dL (>4.9 mmol/L) in first or second degree relative" ▪ Added criteria of "C. A diagnosis of clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) defined as having ONE of the following: i. Acute coronary syndrome ii. History of myocardial infarction iii. Stable or unstable angina iv. Coronary or other arterial revascularization v. Stroke vi. Transient ischemic attack vii. Peripheral arterial disease presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin" ▪ In Item 1 A i added "ONE of the following:" ▪ In Item 2 A i 2) added "BOTH of the following:" ▪ In Item 2 A i 2) a removed "adherent (defined as proportion of days covered [PDC] of ≥ 80% for the past 180 days)" and added "has tried and" and "intolerant" to read " The

REVISIONS	
	patient has tried and is intolerant to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg and atorvastatin 40-80mg" ▪ In Item 2 A i 2) added "b. The patient is currently (for the past 180 days) on low or moderate intensity statin therapy" ▪ In Item 2 A added "ii. The patient is adherent^ (for the past 180 days) to statin therapy as prescribed" ▪ In Item 2 added "B. The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin) OR C. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin" ▪ In Item 3 A ii added "with Zetia (ezetimibe) and removed "(defined as proportion of days covered [PDC] of ≥ 80%" to read "The patient is adherent for the past 180 days) to therapy with Zetia (ezetimibe)" ▪ In Item 3 B added "ONE of the following:" ▪ In Item 3 B ii added "has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is" and "the lowest FDA approved starting" and removed ""is intolerant" and "dose or increase the dose above the smallest tablet strength) to at least 2 different" to read "The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as the inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting of a statin" ▪ In Item 4 A added "(i.e. statin with or without Zetia (ezetimibe))" ▪ In Item 7 removed "lipidologist" ▪ Added "^Adherence is defined as filling ≥80% of therapy as prescribed in the past 180 days" ▪ In Renewal Evaluation removed "These agents" and added "Praluent® (alirocumab) or RepathaTM (evolocumab)" and "ALL of" to read "Praluent® (alirocumab) or Repatha™ (evolocumab) will be approved when ALL of the following are met:" ▪ In Item 1 added "therapy with the requested agent" ▪ In Item 2 A added "of ≥ 45% in LDL-C" and "(LDL-C before PCSK9" and removed "with PCSK9 in LDL-C of ≥ 45%" to read "The patient has shown a percent change of ≥ 45% in LDL-C from baseline (LDL-C before PCSK9 therapy)" ▪ In Renewal Evaluation removed, "The patient is adherent (defined as proportion of days covered [PDC] of ≥ 80% for the past 6 months) to PCSK9 therapy" and "The agent is being prescribed by a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis (e.g. cardiologist, lipidologist, or endocrinologist) or in consultation with a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis" ▪ In Item 3 added "is adherent" and removed "does not have any contraindications" to read "The patient is adherent to therapy with the requested agent" ▪ In Renewal Evaluation added the following criteria "4. ONE of the following: A. ALL of the following: i. ONE of the following: 1. The patient is currently (for the past 180 days) on high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg or atorvastatin 40-80 mg) OR 2. BOTH of the following: a. The patient has tried and is intolerant to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg and atorvastatin 40–80mg) AND b. The patient is currently (for the past 180 days) on low or moderate intensity statin therapy AND ii. The patient is adherent^ (for the past 180 days) to statin therapy as prescribed OR B. The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin) OR C. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin AND

REVISIONS	
	5. ONE of the following: A. BOTH of the following: i. The patient is currently (for the past 180 days) on Zetia (ezetimibe) AND ii. The patient is adherent^ (for the past 180 days) to therapy with Zetia (ezetimibe) OR B. ONE of the following: i. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to Zetia (ezetimibe) OR ii. The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as the inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin) OR iii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin AND 8. The agent is being prescribed by a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis (e.g. cardiologist or endocrinologist) or in consultation with a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis AND 9. The patient does not have any contraindication(s) to therapy with the requested agent" ▪ Added "^Adherence to standard therapy is defined as filling ≥80% of therapy as prescribed in the past 180 days" and "±Adherence to PCSK9 therapy is defined as filling ≥80% of the PCSK9 therapy at an FDA approved dose in the past 180 days" ▪ Added the following criteria for non-preferred agents: "Non-Preferred Agent(s) – will be approved when ONE of the following additional criteria are met: 1. The patient is currently being treated with the non-preferred agent OR 2. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to the preferred agent(s) OR The prescriber has submitted documentation in support of the use of the non-preferred agent(s), for the intended diagnosis" ▪ Added the Contraindications and Dutch Lipid Clinic Network criteria for diagnosis of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia tables ▪ Updated the Quantity Lipid Chart
	Rationale section updated
	References updated
03-11-2016	Description section updated
	In Policy section: <u>In Initial Evaluation</u> ▪ Corrected policy language by removing: "1. C. A diagnosis of clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) defined as having ONE of the following: i. Acute coronary syndrome ii. History of myocardial infarction iii. Stable or unstable angina iv. Coronary or other arterial revascularization v. Stroke vi. Transient ischemic attack vii. Peripheral arterial disease presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin" ▪ Added "AND" between 2 C and 3 to read "C. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin AND 3. ONE of the following:" ▪ In Item 3 B added "OR iii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin" <u>In Renewal Evaluation</u> ▪ In Item 3 add "± (for the past 180 days)" to read "The patient is adherent ± (for the past 180 days) to therapy with the requested agent"
06-01-2016	Published 05-25-2016. Effective 06-01-2016.

REVISIONS	
	In Policy section: Initial Evaluation ▪ In Item 3 A removed "BOTH of the following", "(for the past 180 days)", and "The patient is adherent (for the past 180 days) to therapy with Zetia (ezetimibe)" to read "The patient is currently on Zetia (ezetimibe)" ▪ In Item 3 B ii added "dose" to read "(*intolerance is defined as the inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin)" ▪ Removed "Praluent (alirocumab) and Repatha (evolocumab) will not be used concurrently with Juxtapid (lomitapide) or Kynamro (mipomersen)" ▪ In Item 7 added "FDA labeled" to read "The patient does not have any FDA labeled contraindication(s) to therapy with the requested agent" Renewal Evaluation ▪ In Item 5 A removed "BOTH of the following", "(for the past 180 days)", and "The patient is adherent (for the past 180 days) to therapy with Zetia (ezetimibe)" to read "The patient is currently on Zetia (ezetimibe)" ▪ Removed "Praluent (alirocumab) and Repatha (evolocumab) will not be used concurrently with Juxtapid (lomitapide) or Kynamro (mipomersen)" ▪ In Item 8 added "FDA labeled" to read "The patient does not have any FDA labeled contraindication(s) to therapy with the requested agent" ▪ Quantity Limit chart updated
	Rationale section updated
	References updated
08-15-2016	In Description section ▪ For Repatha added a strength update
	In Policy section: ▪ For Repatha added a strength and Quantity Limit update
02-01-2017	Description section updated
	In Policy section: Initial Evaluation ▪ In Item 2 A changed "ALL" to "BOTH" ▪ In Items 2 A i 1, 2 A i 2 b, 2 A ii, 3 B, and the ^ key revised "180 days" to "90 days" ▪ Removed "ONE of the following: A. The patient is currently on Zetia (ezetimibe) OR B. ONE of the following: i. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to Zetia (ezetimibe) OR ii. The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as the inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin) OR iii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin" ▪ In Item 3 A added "statin" and removed "lipid lowering regimen (i.e. statin with or without Zetia (ezetimibe))" to read "The patient has not achieved a 50% reduction in LDL-C from baseline while on a maximally tolerated statin" ▪ In Item 7 B added "that does not exceed the program quantity limit" to read "The quantity (dose) requested is within FDA approved labeling and the prescribed dose cannot be achieved using a lesser quantity of a higher strength that does not exceed the program quantity limit" Renewal Evaluation ▪ Removed "ONE of the following: A. The patient is currently on Zetia (ezetimibe) OR B. ONE of the following: i. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to Zetia (ezetimibe) OR

REVISIONS	
	ii. The patient has documented intolerance* to TWO different statins (*intolerance is defined as the inability to tolerate the lowest FDA approved starting dose of a statin) OR iii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication to a statin" ▪ In Item 8 B added "that does not exceed the program quantity limit" to read "The quantity (dose) requested is within FDA approved labeling and the prescribed dose cannot be achieved using a lesser quantity of a higher strength that does not exceed the program quantity limit" ▪ Updated Quantity Limit chart
	Rationale section updated
	References updated
07-01-2017	Description section updated
	In Policy section: <u>Initial Evaluation</u> ▪ In Item 2 removed "LDL-C" and added "elevated cholesterol" and " or untreated total cholesterol greater than 290 mg/dL (>7.5 mmol/L)]" to read "Untreated elevated cholesterol levels consistent with heterozygous FH in both parents [untreated LDL-C >190 mg/dL (>4.9 mmol/L) or untreated total cholesterol greater than 290 mg/dL (>7.5 mmol/L)]" ▪ Added criteria for ASCVD to read "A diagnosis of clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) defined as having ONE of the following: i. Acute coronary syndrome ii. History of myocardial infarction iii. Stable or unstable angina iv. Coronary or other arterial revascularization v. Stroke vi. Transient ischemic attack vii. Peripheral arterial disease presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin"
	Rationale section updated
	References updated
01-01-2018	Policy reviewed with no changes.
	References updated
10-01-2018	Policy published 09-01-2018. Policy effective 10-01-2018.
	In Description section ▪ Updated Description paragraph ▪ Moved Praluent from Preferred to Non-Preferred agent ▪ Updated the FDA Indications and Dosing chart
	In Policy section: Summary of revisions: ▪ Added Repatha as the preferred agent in the initial review and the renewal review. Requests for Praluent will require trial of Repatha and patient receiving inadequate therapy; or if the patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, intolerance, or contraindication to Repatha. ▪ Simvastatin 80 mg is allowable as a high dose statin if patient is already on it, but should not be recommended as an option for someone who has not tried a statin ▪ Allowing prescriber attestation instead of validation through documentation or claims history for the following: ✓ Dutch Lipid Clinic Network Criteria score ✓ Adherence and previous trial of maximally tolerated statins ✓ In renewal criteria, check for efficacy of PCSK9 ✓ In renewal criteria, check for adherence to PCSK9 ▪ Criteria for efficacy of statins, the threshold for allowing PCSK9 use was changed from ≥100 to ≥70 mg/dL ▪ Updated language for checking if the patient is currently taking another PCSK9 agent ▪ In renewal changed adherence check date range from the past 180 days to the past 90 days

REVISIONS	
	Initial Evaluation ▪ In Item 2 A added "adherent" and "or simvastatin 80 mg" to read "The patient is currently adherent (for the past 90 days) to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg, atorvastatin 40-80 mg, or simvastatin 80 mg)" ▪ In Item 2 A ii added "adherent" ▪ Removed "The patient is adherent^ (for the past 90 days) to statin therapy as prescribed" ▪ In Item 3 B replaced "100" with "70" and "2.59" with "1.81" to read "The patient has an LDL-C ≥70 mg/dL (≥1.81 mmol/L) evaluated within the past 90 days" ▪ Added "4. ONE of the following: A. The patient is not currently taking another PSCK9 agent OR B. The other PCSK9 agent will be discontinued before starting therapy with the requested agent" ▪ Removed "Praluent (alirocumab) and Repatha (evolocumab) will not be used concurrently with each other" ▪ In Item 5 added "or lipid specialist" to read "The agent is being prescribed by a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis (e.g. cardiologist, endocrinologist, or lipid specialist) or in consultation with a specialist in the area of practice related to the patient's diagnosis" ▪ Added "7. ONE of the following: A. The request is for a preferred agent OR B. The request is for a non-preferred agent AND ONE of the following: i. The patient has tried and had an inadequate response to the preferred agent OR ii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to the preferred agent" ▪ Removed "^Adherence is defined as filling ≥80% of therapy as prescribed in the past 90 days" ▪ In Length of Approval revised "6 months" to "12 months" Renewal Evaluation ▪ Removed "The patient has shown a percent change of ≥ 45% in LDL-C from baseline (LDL-C before PCSK9 therapy) OR The patient has a current (within the past 30 days) LDL-C ≥100 mg/dL (≥2.59 mmol/L)" ▪ In Item 2 added "A. The request is for a preferred agent OR B. The request is for a non-preferred agent i. The patient has tried and had an inadequate response to the preferred agent OR ii. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to the preferred agent" ▪ Added "3. The patient has shown clinical benefit with the requested agent." ▪ In Item 4 added "currently" and replaced "180" with "90" to read "The patient is currently adherent (for the past 90 days) to therapy with the requested agent" ▪ In Item 5 A replaced "180" with "90" and added "or simvastatin 80 mg" to read "The patient is currently (for the past 90 days) to high-intensity statin therapy (i.e. rosuvastatin 20-40 mg, atorvastatin 40-80 mg, or simvastatin 80 mg)" ▪ In Item 5 B ii added "adherent" and replaced "180" with "90" to read "The patient is currently adherent (for the past 90 days) to low or moderate intensity statin therapy" ▪ Removed "The patient is adherent^ (for the past 180 days) to statin therapy as prescribed" ▪ Added "6. ONE of the following: A. The patient is not currently taking another PCSK9 agent OR B. The patient will discontinue the current PCSK9 agent before starting therapy with the requested agent" ▪ Removed "Praluent (alirocumab) and Repatha (evolocumab) will not be used concurrently with each other"

REVISIONS	
	▪ In Item 7 added "lipid specialist" to read "...(e.g. cardiologist, endocrinologist, lipid specialist)" Non-Preferred Agent(s) ▪ Removed "Non-Preferred Agent(s) – will be approved when ONE of the following additional criteria are met: 1. The patient is currently being treated with the non-preferred agent OR 2. The patient has an FDA labeled contraindication, documented intolerance, or hypersensitivity to the preferred agent(s) OR 3. The prescriber has submitted documentation in support of the use of the non-preferred agent(s), for the intended diagnosis" ▪ Removed the Table 2. Dutch Lipid Clinic Network criteria for diagnosis of heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia chart
	Rationale updated
	References updated

REFERENCES

1. Garcia CK, Wilund K, Arca M, Zuliani G, Fellin R, Maioli M, et al. "Autosomal recessive hypercholesterolemia caused by mutations in a putative LDL receptor adaptor protein. Science. May 18 2001;292(5520):1394-8
2. Rader DJ, Cohen J, Hobbs H. "Monogenic hypercholesterolemia: new insights in pathogenesis and treatment" Journal of clinical Investigation. June 15th, 2003.
3. Mayo Clinic Medical Laboratories. "Test ID ADHP: Familial Hypercholesterolemia/Autosomal Dominant Hypercholesterolemia Genetic Testing Reflex Panel" Mayo Clinic. 2012. Accessed on October 29th, 2012 at http://www.mayomedicallaboratories.com/test-catalog/Overview/83375
4. National Cholesterol Education Program ATP II Guidelines At-A-Glance Quick Desk Reference. Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/files/docs/guidelines/atglance.pdf. Accessed 3/31/15. Updated 2004.
5. Citkowitz E. Familial Hypercholesterolemia. Medscape. Available at: http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/121298-overview. Accessed 3/31/15.
6. Raal FR, Dufour R, Tumer T et al. PCSK9 inhibition with evolocumab (AMG 145) in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (RUTHERFORD-2): a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. The Lancet 2015; 385:331-40.
7. Stroes E, Colquhoun D, Sullivan D et al. Anti-PCSK9 Antibody Effectively Lowers Cholesterol in Patients with Statin Intolerance. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:2541-8.
8. Roth EM, Taskinen MR, Ginsberg HN et al. Monotherapy with the PCSK9 inhibitor alicrocumab versus ezetimibe in patients with hypercholesterolemia: Results of a 24 week, double-blind, randomized Phase 3 trial. International Journal of Cardiology 2014;(176):55-61.
9. Robinson JG, Farnier M, Krempf M et al. Efficacy and Safety of Alirocumab in Reducing Lipids and Cardiovascular Events. NEJM2015. Available at: NEJM.org. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1501031.
10. Moriarty PM, Jacobson TA, Bruckert E et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab, a monoclonal antibody to PCSK9, in statin-intolerant patients: Design and rationale of ODYSSEY ALTERNATIVE, a randomized phase 3 trial. Journal of Clinical Lipidology 2014;(80):554-561.
11. Colhoun HM, Robinson JG, Farnier M et al. Efficacy and safety of alirocumab, a fully human PCSK9 monoclonal antibody, in high cardiovascular risk patients with poorly controlled hypercholesterolemia on maximally tolerated doses of statins: rationale and design of the ODYSSEY COMBO I and II trials. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders 2014, 14:121-131.
12. Kastelein JP, Robinson JG, Farnier M et al. Efficacy and Safety of Alirocumab in Patients with Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia not Adequately Controlled with Current Lipid-Lowering Therapy: Design and Rationale of the ODYSSEY FH studies. Cardiovas Drug Ther 2014;28:281-289.

13. Identification and Management of Familial Hypercholesterolemia. Simon Broome Diagnostic criteria for index individuals and relatives. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK53810/. Accessed 4/1/15.
14. Sabatine MS, Giugliano RP, Wiviott SD et al. Efficacy and Safety of Evolocumab in Reducing Lipids and Cardiovascular Events. N Engl J Med 2015. Available at: NEJM.org. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1500858. Accessed 3/30/15.
15. Homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia: new insights and guidance for clinicians to improve detection and clinical management. A position paper from the Consensus Panel on Familial Hypercholesterolaemia of the European Atherosclerosis Society. July 22, 2014. http://eurheartj.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2014/07/22/eurheartj.ehu274. Accessed on 9/4/15.
16. Stone NJ, Robinson J, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Treatment of Blood Cholesterol to Reduce Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines [published online ahead of print Nov. 12 2013]. Journal of American College of Cardiology. 2013. http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2013/11/11/01.cir.0000437738.63853.7a Accessed on 9/8/2015.
17. Robinson JG, Nedergaard BS, Rogers WJ et al. Effect of Evolocumab or Ezetimibe Added to Moderate- or High- Intensity Statin Therapy on LDL-C Lowreing in Patients with Hypercholesterolemia. The LAPLACE-2 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2014;311(18):1870-1882.
18. National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA), 2014 State of healthcare Quality Report – Cholesterol Management for Patients with Cardiovascular Conditions. Available at: http://www.ncqa.org/ReportCards/HealthPlans/StateofHealthCareQuality/2014TableofContents/CholesterolManagement.aspx. Accessed 5/6/15.
19. Praluent prescribing information. Sanofi. September 2017.
20. Repatha prescribing information. Amgen. December 2017.
21. National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care (UK). Identification and Management of Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (FH) [Internet]. London: Royal College of General Practitioners (UK); 2008 Aug. (NICE Clinical Guidelines, No. 71.) 3, Diagnosis.Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK53817/ Accessed 9/4/2015.
22. World Health Organization. Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (FH): Report of a second WHO consultation. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1999.
23. Nordestgaard BG, Chapman MJ, Humphries ST, et al; for the European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. Familial hypercholesterolaemia is underdiagnosed and undertreated in the general population: guidance for clinicians to prevent coronary heart disease.Eur Heart J. 2013. doi.10.1093/eurheartj/eht273.
24. Eli M, Marja-Riitta T, Henry N, et al. Monotherapy with PCSK9 inhibitor alirocumab versus ezetimibe in patients with hypercholesterolemia: Results of a 24 week, double-blind, randomized Phase III trial.
25. Eli M, Marja-Riita Taskinen, Henry G. “Monotherapy with PCSK9 inhibitor alirocumab versus ezetimibe in patients with hypercholesterolemia: Results of a 24 week, double-blind, randomized phase 3 trial. International Journal of Cardiology 176 (2014) 55-61.
26. Patrick M, Terry A, Eric B. “Efficacy and safety or alirocumab, a monoclonal antibody to PCSK9, in statin-intolerant patients: Design and rationale of ODYSSEY ALTERNATIVE, a randomized phase 3 trial. Journal of clinical lipidology (2014) 8, 554-561.
27. Michael J, Pernille L, Michael B, “Anti-PCSK9 monotherapy for hypercholesterolemia.” Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2014.03.018.
28. Gidding S, Champagne M, Ferranti S, et al. The Agenda for Familial Hypercholesterolemia. A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015; 132:00-00.
29. Donald M, Pamela B, Christie M, et al. 2016 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on the Role of Non-Statin Therapies for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in Management of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. Vol. 68.No. 1. 2016.
30. Sabatine M, Giugliano R, Keech A, et al. Evolocumab and Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Cardiovascular Disease. New England Journal of Medicine, 2017; DOI: 19.1056/NEJMoa1615664.

31. Results of ODYSSEY OUTCOMES Trial-Evaluation of long-term cardiovascular outcomes after Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) during treatment with Praluent (alirocumab) – Investor call at ACC, Orlando, March 10, 2018. Available at: https://www.sanofi.com/media/Project/One-Sanofi-Web/sanofi-com/common/docs/investors/FINAL_ACC_Praluent_ODYSSEY_OUTCOMES_March10_LBCT_presentation_Web.pdf. Accessed 5/11/18.
32. 2017 focused update of the 2016 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on the role of non-statin therapies for ldl-cholesterol lowering in the management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk - a report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on expert consensus decision pathways. Journal of American College of Cardiology. 70(14): 1785-1822.