

## Medical Policy



**Title: Genetic Testing for BRCA1 or BRCA2 for Hereditary Breast/Ovarian Cancer Syndrome and Other High-Risk Cancers**

**Pre-Determination of Services IS REQUIRED by the Member's Contract.**

[http://www.bcbsks.com/CustomerService/Forms/pdf/15-17\\_predeterm\\_request\\_frm.pdf](http://www.bcbsks.com/CustomerService/Forms/pdf/15-17_predeterm_request_frm.pdf)

### **Professional**

Original Effective Date: October 1, 2001  
Revision Date(s): October 1, 2001;  
August 1, 2002; July 1, 2003;  
November 3, 2005; August 29, 2006;  
October 31, 2006; January 1, 2007;  
October 8, 2010; September 2, 2011;  
January 1, 2012; October 4, 2012;  
October 26, 2012; January 15, 2013;  
February 26, 2013; July 22, 2013;  
December 11, 2013; August 28, 2014;  
April 2, 2015; January 1, 2016;  
January 4, 2017; March 17, 2018;  
January 1, 2019; April 12, 2019;  
April 16, 2021; July 28, 2021  
Current Effective Date: April 16, 2021

### **Institutional**

Original Effective Date: February 1, 2006  
Revision Date(s): August 29, 2006;  
October 31, 2006; January 1, 2007;  
November 8, 2010; September 2, 2011;  
January 1, 2012; October 4, 2012;  
October 26, 2012; January 15, 2013;  
February 26, 2013; July 22, 2013;  
December 11, 2013; August 28, 2014;  
April 2, 2015; January 1, 2016;  
January 4, 2017; March 17, 2018;  
January 1, 2019; April 12, 2019;  
April 16, 2021; July 28, 2021  
Current Effective Date: April 16, 2021

**State and Federal mandates and health plan member contract language, including specific provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage. To verify a member's benefits, contact [Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Customer Service](#).**

**The BCBSKS Medical Policies contained herein are for informational purposes and apply only to members who have health insurance through BCBSKS or who are covered by a self-insured group plan administered by BCBSKS. Medical Policy for FEP members is subject to FEP medical policy which may differ from BCBSKS Medical Policy.**

**The medical policies do not constitute medical advice or medical care. Treating health care providers are independent contractors and are neither employees nor agents of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas and are solely responsible for diagnosis, treatment and medical advice.**

**If your patient is covered under a different Blue Cross and Blue Shield plan, please refer to the Medical Policies of that plan.**

Populations	Interventions	Comparators	Outcomes
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• With cancer or personal or family cancer history and criteria suggesting risk of hereditary breast/ovarian cancer syndrome</li> </ul>	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Genetic testing for a <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> variant</li> </ul>	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standard of care without genetic testing</li> </ul>	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall survival</li> <li>• Disease-specific survival</li> <li>• Test validity</li> <li>• Quality of life</li> </ul>
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• With other high-risk cancers (e.g., cancers of the fallopian tube, pancreas, prostate)</li> </ul>	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Genetic testing for a <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> variant</li> </ul>	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standard of care without genetic testing</li> </ul>	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall survival</li> <li>• Disease-specific survival</li> <li>• Test validity</li> <li>• Quality of life</li> </ul>
Individuals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• With cancer or family cancer history and criteria suggesting risk of hereditary breast/ovarian cancer syndrome or other high-risk cancers (e.g., cancers of the fallopian tube, pancreas, prostate) and considering systemic therapy (<i>ie</i>, poly(adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase [PARP] inhibitors for ovarian, prostate, or pancreatic cancer and metastatic human epidermal receptor 2 [HER]-negative breast cancer; platinum therapy for prostate cancer and pancreatic cancer)</li> </ul>	Interventions of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Genetic testing for a <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> variant</li> </ul>	Comparators of interest are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standard of care without genetic testing</li> </ul>	Relevant outcomes include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall survival</li> <li>• Disease-specific survival</li> <li>• Test validity</li> <li>• Quality of life</li> </ul>

## **DESCRIPTION**

Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome describes the familial cancer syndromes that are related to variants in the *BRCA* genes (*BRCA1* located on chromosome 17q21 and *BRCA2* located on chromosome 13q12-13). Families with hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome have an increased susceptibility to the following types of cancer: breast cancer occurring at a young age, bilateral breast cancer, male breast cancer, ovarian cancer (at any age), cancer of the fallopian tube, primary peritoneal cancer, prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, gastrointestinal cancers, melanoma, and laryngeal cancer.

## **Objective**

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether genetic testing for *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variants improves the net health outcome in individuals with cancer or who have a personal or family history of cancer, which might suggest hereditary breast/ovarian cancer syndrome or other high-risk cancers.

## Background

### Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Syndrome

Several genetic syndromes with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance that features breast cancer have been identified. Of these, HBOC and some cases of hereditary site-specific breast cancer have in common causative variants in *BRCA* (breast cancer susceptibility) genes. Families suspected of having HBOC syndrome are characterized by an increased susceptibility to breast cancer occurring at a young age, bilateral breast cancer, male breast cancer, ovarian cancer at any age, as well as cancer of the fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer. Other cancers, such as prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, gastrointestinal cancers, melanoma, and laryngeal cancer, occur more frequently in HBOC families. Hereditary site-specific breast cancer families are characterized by early-onset breast cancer with or without male cases, but without ovarian cancer. For this evidence review, BCBSA refers collectively to both as *hereditary breast and/or ovarian cancer*.

Germline variants in the *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes are responsible for the cancer susceptibility in most HBOC families, especially if ovarian cancer or male breast cancer are features. However, in site-specific cancer, *BRCA* variants are responsible only for a proportion of affected families. *BRCA* gene variants are inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion through maternal or paternal lineage. It is possible to test for abnormalities in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes to identify the specific variant in cancer cases and to identify family members at increased cancer risk. Family members without existing cancer who are found to have *BRCA* variants can consider preventive interventions for reducing risk and mortality.

### Clinical Features Suggestive of *BRCA* Variant

Young age of onset of breast cancer, even in the absence of family history, is a risk factor for *BRCA1* variants. Winchester (1996) estimated that hereditary breast cancers account for 36% to 85% of patients diagnosed before age 30.<sup>1</sup> In several studies, *BRCA* variants were independently predicted by early age at onset, being present in 6% to 10% of breast cancer cases diagnosed at ages younger than various premenopausal age cutoffs (age range, 35-50 years).<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> In cancer-prone families, the mean age of breast cancer diagnosis among women carrying *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variants is in the 40s.<sup>5</sup> In the Ashkenazi Jewish population, Frank et al (2002) reported that 13% of 248 cases with no known family history and diagnosed before 50 years of age had *BRCA* variants.<sup>2</sup> In a similar study by Gershoni-Baruch et al (2000), 31% of Ashkenazi Jewish women, unselected for family history, diagnosed with breast cancer at younger than 42 years of age had *BRCA* variants.<sup>6</sup> Other studies have indicated that early age of breast cancer diagnosis is a significant predictor of *BRCA* variants in the absence of family history in this population.<sup>7,8,9</sup>

As in the general population, a family history of breast or ovarian cancer, particularly of early age onset, is a significant risk factor for a *BRCA* variant in ethnic populations characterized by founder mutations. For example, in unaffected individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent, 12% to 31% will have a *BRCA* variant depending on the extent and nature of the family history.<sup>4</sup> Several other studies have documented the significant influence of family history.<sup>6,7,8,9,10</sup>

In patients with "triple-negative" breast cancer (i.e., negative for expression of estrogen, progesterone, and overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 receptors), there is an increased prevalence of *BRCA* variants. Pathophysiologic research has suggested that the physiologic pathway for the development of triple-negative breast cancer is similar to that

for *BRCA*-associated breast cancer.<sup>11</sup> In 200 randomly selected patients with triple-negative breast cancer from a tertiary care center, Kandel et al (2006) reported there was a greater than 3-fold increase in the expected rate of *BRCA* variants.<sup>12</sup> *BRCA1* variants were found in 39.1% of patients and *BRCA2* variants in 8.7%. Young et al (2009) studied 54 women with high-grade, triple-negative breast cancer with no family history of breast or ovarian cancer, representing a group that previously was not recommended for *BRCA* testing.<sup>13</sup> Six *BRCA* variants (5 *BRCA1*, 1 *BRCA2*) were found, for a variant rate of 11%. Finally, Gonzalez-Angulo et al (2011) in a study of 77 patients with triple-negative breast cancer, reported that 15 patients (19.5%) had *BRCA* variants (12 in *BRCA1*, 3 in *BRCA2*).<sup>14</sup>

## REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Genetic tests reviewed in this evidence review are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

## FDA Approved Companion Diagnostics

FDA has approved various companion diagnostics to identify patients with *BRCA* mutations who may benefit from treatment with a targeted therapy (*i.e.*, PARP inhibitor drugs). FDA product codes: PQP, PJG

For example, FDA has approved BRACAnalysis CDx ® to detect germline *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants to identify patients with breast or ovarian cancer who may be considered for treatment with various PARP inhibitor drugs.

In addition to the various individual variant tests which are the focus of this policy, numerous other multigene panel tests exist that include *BRCA1/2* among other genes. For example, FoundationOne CDx™ (F1CDx) is an FDA approved companion diagnostic for use of olaparib and rucaparib in accordance with their respective FDA labels in women with ovarian cancer. F1CDx is FDA approved to assess *BRCA1/2* and other homologous recombination pathway genes (e.g. ATM, BRIP1, CHEK2, FANCA, FANCL, FANCM, NBN, RAD51C, RAD51D, and RAD54L as well as MSI and DNA mismatch repair genes (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2). FoundationOne CDx is also FDA approved for determining homologous recombination deficiency based on genomic loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and *BRCA* mutant status. Also, FoundationOne Liquid CDx is FDA approved for detection of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* alterations in individuals with prostate cancer considering treatment with rucaparib. However, further discussion of these multigene panel tests are outside of the scope of this review, but can be found in policies 2.04.115 and 2.04.141.

## Poly (Adenosine Diphosphate–Ribose) Polymerase (PARP) Inhibitors

Poly (adenosine diphosphate–ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors drugs are oral targeted therapies used to treat certain types of cancers that have damaged DNA repair pathways (*e.g.*, *BRCA* mutation). Table 1 provides a list of FDA approved PARP inhibitor drugs and their *BRCA* mutation-related approved indications.

**Table 1. FDA-Approved *BRCA* Mutation-Related Indications for Poly (Adenosine Diphosphate–Ribose) Polymerase (PARP) Inhibitors**

PARP Inhibitor	Year Approved	Indication
Olaparib	2018	Maintenance treatment of adult patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline or somatic <i>BRCA</i> -mutated advanced epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer who are in complete or partial response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic
	2018	Treatment of adult patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline <i>BRCA</i> -mutated ( <i>gBRCAm</i> ) advanced ovarian cancer who have been treated with 3 or more prior lines of chemotherapy. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic
	2018	Treatment of adult patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious <i>gBRCAm</i> , HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer who have been treated with chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant, adjuvant or metastatic setting. Patients with hormone receptor (HR)-positive breast cancer should have been treated with a prior endocrine therapy or be considered inappropriate for endocrine therapy. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic
	2019	Maintenance treatment of adult patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious <i>gBRCAm</i> metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma whose disease has not progressed on at least 16 weeks of a first-line platinum-based chemotherapy regimen. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic
	2020	In combination with bevacizumab for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with advanced epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer who are in complete or partial response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy and whose cancer is associated with homologous recombination deficiency positive status defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious <i>BRCA</i> mutation, and/or genomic instability. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic
Niraparib	2017	For the maintenance treatment of adult patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in a complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy

PARP Inhibitor	Year Approved	Indication
	2019	Treatment of adult patients with advanced ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who have been treated with 3 or more prior chemotherapy regimens and whose cancer is associated with homologous recombination deficiency positive status defined by either a deleterious or suspected deleterious <i>BRCA</i> mutation, or genomic instability and who have progressed more than 6 months after response to the last platinum-based chemotherapy. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic
Rucaparib	2019	Treatment of patients with deleterious <i>BRCA</i> mutation-associated epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who have been treated with 2 or more chemotherapies. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic
	2020	Treatment of adult patients with a deleterious <i>BRCA</i> mutation (germline and/or somatic)-associated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) who have been treated with androgen receptor-directed therapy and a taxane based chemotherapy <sup>a</sup>
Talazoparib	2018	Treatment of adult patients with deleterious or suspected deleterious germline BRCA-mutated (gBRCAm) HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic

<sup>a</sup> This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on objective response rate and duration of response. Continued approval for this indication may be contingent upon verification and description of clinical benefit in confirmatory trials. The ongoing FDA-required confirmatory trial is TRITON3 (NCT02975934), which is a randomized, phase 3 study evaluating rucaparib 600 mg BID vs physician's choice treatment in patients with mCRPC and a deleterious germline or somatic *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, or *ATM* mutation and powered to measure progression-free survival as its primary outcome.

BRCA: Breast Cancer gene; FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; gBRCAm: germline BRCA mutated; HER2: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; PARP: Poly (adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase

## **POLICY**

Genetic testing should be performed in a setting that has suitably trained healthcare providers who can give appropriate pre- and post-test counseling and that has access to a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA)–licensed laboratory that offers comprehensive variant analysis (see Policy Guidelines: Comprehensive Variant Analysis).

### **A. Patients With Cancer or With a Personal History of Cancer**

Genetic testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in cancer-affected individuals may be considered **medically necessary** under any of the following circumstances:

1. Individual from a family with a known *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant
2. Personal history of breast cancer and one or more of the following:
  - a. Diagnosed at age  $\leq 45$  years
  - b. Diagnosed 46 to 50 years with:
    - i. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with breast cancer, ovarian, pancreatic, or prostate cancer at any age; **OR**
    - ii. An unknown or limited family history; **OR**
    - iii. An additional breast cancer primary at any age
  - c. Diagnosed  $\leq 60$  years with:
    - i. Triple negative breast cancer
  - d. Diagnosed at any age with:
    - i. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with
      - Breast cancer diagnosed at  $\leq 50$  years; **OR**
      - Ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer; **OR**
      - Male breast cancer; **OR**
      - Metastatic or intraductal/cribriform prostate cancer, or high-risk group or very-high-risk group (see Policy Guidelines) prostate cancer; **OR**
      - Pancreatic cancer
    - ii.  $\geq 2$  additional diagnoses of breast cancer at any age in patient and/or 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative
    - iii. Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry
3. Personal history of ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer at any age
4. Personal history of male breast cancer
5. Personal history of pancreatic cancer at any age
6. Personal history of metastatic or intraductal/cribriform histology prostate cancer at any age; or high-risk group or very-high-risk group prostate cancer at any age

7. Personal history of prostate cancer at any age with:
  - a. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, pancreatic cancer, or metastatic or intraductal/cribriform prostate cancer at any age or breast cancer ≤50 years; **OR**
  - b. Two or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relatives with breast or prostate cancer (any grade) at any age; **OR**
  - c. Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry
8. Personal history of cancer and a mutation identified on tumor genomic testing that has clinical implications if also identified in the germline
9. Personal history of cancer and to aid in systemic therapy decision-making, such as for PARP-inhibitors for human epidermal receptor 2 (HER2)-negative metastatic breast cancer, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer; platinum therapy for prostate cancer and pancreatic cancer

**B. Patients Without Cancer or Without History of Cancer** (see Policy Guidelines: Testing Unaffected Individuals)

1. Genetic testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants of cancer-unaffected individuals may be considered **medically necessary** under any of the following circumstances:
  - a. Individual from a family with a known *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant
  - b. An unaffected individual with a 1<sup>st</sup>- or 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree blood relative meeting any criterion listed above for Patients With Cancer (except individuals who meet criteria only for systemic therapy decision-making). If the individual with cancer has pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer (metastatic or intraductal/cribriform or high-risk group or very-high-risk group) then only first-degree relatives should be offered testing unless there are other family history indications for testing.
  - c. An unaffected individual who otherwise does not meet the criteria above but has a probability >5% of a *BRCA1/2* pathogenic variant based on prior probability models (*e.g.*, Tyrer-Cuzick, BRCAPro, PennII)

<sup>a</sup> For familial assessment, 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, and 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree relatives are blood relatives on the same side of the family (maternal or paternal).

- 1<sup>st</sup>-degree relatives are parents, siblings, and children
- 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree relatives are grandparents, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews, grandchildren, and half-siblings
- 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree relatives are great-grandparents, great-aunts, great-uncles, great-grandchildren, and first cousins.

C. Genetic testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in cancer-affected individuals or of cancer-unaffected individuals with a family history of cancer when criteria above are not met is considered **experimental / investigational**.

D. Genetic testing in minors for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants is considered **experimental / investigational**.

## Policy Guidelines

1. Current U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) guidelines recommend screening women with a personal or family history of breast, ovarian, tubal, or peritoneal cancer or who have an ancestry associated with *BRCA1/2* gene mutation. Women with positive screening result on the risk assessment tool should receive genetic counseling and, if indicated after counseling, genetic testing (B Recommendation).
2. Recommended screening tools designed to identify a family history that may be associated with an increased risk for potentially harmful variants in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* are:
  - Ontario Family History Assessment Tool (FHAT)
  - Manchester Scoring System
  - Referral Screening Tool (RST)
  - Pedigree Assessment Tool (PAT)
  - Family History Screen (FHS-7)
  - International Breast Cancer Intervention Study instrument (Tyrer-Cuziak)
  - Brief versions of the BRCAPRO
3. Prostate Cancer Risk Groups: Risk groups for prostate cancer in this policy include high-risk groups and very-high-risk groups.

High-risk group: no very-high-risk features and are T3a (American Joint Committee on Cancer staging T3a = tumor has extended outside of the prostate but has not spread to the seminal vesicles); OR Grade Group 4 or 5; OR prostate specific antigen of 20 ng/ml or greater.

Very-high-risk group: T3b-T4 (tumor invades seminal vesicle(s); or tumor is fixed or invades adjacent structures other than seminal vesicles such as external sphincter, rectum, bladder, levator muscles, and/or pelvic wall); OR Primary Gleason Pattern 5; OR 2 or 3 high-risk features; OR greater than 4 cores with Grade Group 4 or 5
4. Recommended Testing Strategies: Patients who meet criteria for genetic testing as outlined in the policy statements above should be tested for variants in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*. Recommended strategies are listed below.
  - A. In patients with a known familial *BRCA* variant, targeted testing for the specific variant is recommended.
  - B. In patients with unknown familial *BRCA* variant:
    - 1) Non-Ashkenazi Jewish descent
      - a) To identify clinically significant variants, National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) advises testing a relative who has early-onset disease, bilateral disease, multiple primaries, because that individual has the highest likelihood of obtaining a positive test result. Unless the affected individual is a member of an ethnic group for which particular founder pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants are known, comprehensive genetic testing (*i.e.*, full sequencing of the genes and detection of large gene rearrangements) should be performed
      - b) If no living family member with breast or ovarian cancer exists, NCCN suggests testing first- or second-degree family members affected with cancer thought to be related to deleterious *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variants (e.g., prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, melanoma).
      - c) If no familial variant can be identified, two possible testing strategies are:
        - i. Full sequencing followed by testing for large genomic rearrangements (deletions/duplications) only if sequencing detects no variant (negative result).



8. **Testing Minors:** The use of genetic testing for *BRCA* variants has limited or no clinical utility in minors, because there is no change in management for minors as a result of knowledge of the presence or absence of a deleterious variant. In addition, there are potential harms related to stigmatization and discrimination.
9. **Prostate Cancer:** Patients with *BRCA* variants have an increased risk of prostate cancer, and patients with known *BRCA* variants may, therefore, consider more aggressive screening approaches for prostate cancer. However, the presence of prostate cancer in an individual, or in a family, is not itself considered sufficient justification for *BRCA* testing.
10. **Genetic Counseling:** Genetic counseling is primarily aimed at patients who are at risk for inherited disorders, and experts recommend formal genetic counseling in most cases when genetic testing for an inherited condition is considered. The interpretation of the results of genetic tests and the understanding of risk factors can be very difficult and complex. Therefore, genetic counseling will assist individuals in understanding the possible benefits and harms of genetic testing, including the possible impact of the information on the individual's family. Genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing. Genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

## **RATIONALE**

This evidence review has been updated regularly with searches of the PubMed database. The most recent literature update was performed through October 13, 2020.

This review was informed by a TEC Assessment (1997).<sup>15</sup>

Evidence reviews assess whether a medical test is clinically useful. A useful test provides information to make a clinical management decision that improves the net health outcome. That is, the balance of benefits and harms is better when the test is used to manage the condition than when another test or no test is used to manage the condition.

The first step in assessing a medical test is to formulate the clinical context and purpose of the test. The test must be technically reliable, clinically valid, and clinically useful for that purpose. Evidence reviews assess the evidence on whether a test is clinically valid and clinically useful. Technical reliability is outside the scope of these reviews, and credible information on technical reliability is available from other sources.

## **TESTING FOR *BRCA1* AND *BRCA2* VARIANTS IN INDIVIDUALS AT RISK FOR HEREDITARY BREAST/OVARIAN CANCER SYNDROME OR OTHER HIGH-RISK CANCERS**

### **Clinical Context and Test Purpose**

The purpose of testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in individuals at high-risk for HBOC syndrome is to evaluate whether variants are present and if so, to determine the appropriate surveillance and treatment to decrease the risk of mortality from breast and/or ovarian cancer.

The question addressed in this evidence review is: Does testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants improve the net health outcome in individuals with or suspected of having HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers?

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

### ***Populations***

The relevant population of interest is patients with cancer (i.e., breast cancer, epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, primary peritoneal cancer), or patients with a personal or family history of cancer and criteria that might suggest they are at risk of HBOC syndrome.

### ***Intervention***

The intervention of interest is *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant testing.

For patients without a cancer diagnosis who are assessing cancer risk, results may guide potential prophylactic measures such as surveillance, chemoprevention, or prophylactic mastectomy, and/or oophorectomy.

For patients with a cancer diagnosis, results may guide treatment decisions.

Testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants is conducted in adults when appropriate treatment and/or prophylactic treatment options are available. Variant testing is offered in a primary care setting (e.g., for people without cancer) or the specialty setting (e.g., multidisciplinary oncology care) through various test manufacturers and institutions.

### ***Comparator***

The following practice is currently being used to manage HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers: standard of care without genetic testing.

### ***Outcomes***

The outcomes of interest are overall survival (OS), disease-specific (breast and ovarian cancer) survival, test validity, and quality of life (QOL; e.g., anxiety).

### **Study Selection Criteria**

For the evaluation of clinical validity, studies of variant prevalence and cancer risk were included. For the evaluation of clinical utility, studies that represent the intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population were included. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings.

Evidence for the 2 indications is presented together because there is overlap in the evidence base for the 2 populations: (1) patients at risk of HBOC syndrome, and (2) patients with other high-risk cancers such as cancers of the fallopian tube, pancreas, and prostate.

### **Technically Reliable**

Assessment of technical reliability focuses on specific tests and operators and requires a review of unpublished and often proprietary information. Review of specific tests, operators, and

unpublished data are outside the scope of this evidence review and alternative sources exist. This evidence review focuses on the clinical validity and clinical utility.

### **Clinically Valid**

A test must detect the presence or absence of a condition, the risk of developing a condition in the future, or treatment response (beneficial or adverse).

### **Prevalence of *BRCA* Variants and Risks of Cancer and Survival**

The prevalence of *BRCA* variants is approximately 0.1% to 0.2% in the general population. The prevalence may be much higher for particular ethnic groups with characterized founder mutations (e.g., 2.5% [1/40] in the Ashkenazi Jewish population). Family history of breast and ovarian cancer is an important risk factor for the *BRCA* variant; additionally, age and ethnicity could be independent risk factors.

### **Systematic Reviews**

A systematic review published by Zhu et al (2016) found a significantly lower risk of OS in breast cancer patients with *BRCA1* (pooled hazard ratio [HR], 1.69; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.35 to 2.12) and with *BRCA2* (pooled HR, 1.50; 95% CI, 1.02 to 2.09;  $p=0.034$ ).<sup>16</sup> However, in patients with breast cancer, *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* were not associated with a lower breast cancer-specific survival.

Nelson et al (2013) conducted a systematic review that included meta-analytic estimates of the prevalence and penetrance of *BRCA* variants; this review was used to update the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommendation for risk assessment, genetic counseling, and genetic testing for *BRCA*-related cancer.<sup>17</sup> In high-risk women with positive test results, cumulative risks for developing breast cancer by age 70 were 46% for *BRCA1* and 50% for *BRCA2* when a single family member was tested, and 70% for *BRCA1* and 71% for *BRCA2* when multiple family members were tested; cumulative risks for developing ovarian cancer by age 70 were 41% for *BRCA1* and 17% for *BRCA2* when a single family member was tested; and 46% for *BRCA1* and 23% for *BRCA2* when multiple family members were tested. For Ashkenazi Jewish women with positive test results, cumulative risks for developing breast or ovarian cancer by age 75 were 34% and 21%, respectively. Nelson et al (2013) included meta-analytic estimates of *BRCA* prevalence in their review for USPSTF. In unselected women, *BRCA* variant prevalence estimates were 0.2% to 0.3%; in women with breast cancer, 1.8% for *BRCA1* and 1.3% for *BRCA2*; in women with breast cancer onset at age 40 years or younger, 6%; in women from high-risk families, 13.6% for *BRCA1*, 7.9% for *BRCA2*, and 19.8% for *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*; in unselected Ashkenazi Jewish women, 2.1%; and in Ashkenazi Jewish women from high-risk families, 10.2%.

Estimates of lifetime risk of cancer for *BRCA* variant carriers (penetrance), based on studies of families with an extensive history of the disease, have been as high as 85%. For example, Kuchenbaecker et al (2017) found that the cumulative risk of breast cancer up to age 80 was 72% in *BRCA1* carriers and 69% in *BRCA2* carriers.<sup>18</sup> Because other factors that influence risk may be present in families with extensive breast and ovarian cancer histories, early penetrance estimates may have been biased upward.<sup>19</sup> Studies of founder mutations in ethnic populations (e.g., Ashkenazi Jewish, Polish, Icelandic populations) unselected for family history have indicated lower penetrance estimates, in the range of 40% to 60% for *BRCA1* and 25% to 40% for *BRCA2*.<sup>7,10,20,21</sup> However, a genotyping study of Ashkenazi Jewish women with incident

invasive breast cancer, selected regardless of family history of cancer and their family members, resulted in an 82% lifetime risk of breast cancer for carriers of any of 3 *BRCA* founder mutations (185delAG, 5382insC, 6174delT).<sup>21</sup> Importantly, the risk of cancer in variant carriers from families with little history of cancer (>50% of all carriers) did not differ significantly. Lifetime risk estimates of ovarian cancer were 54% for *BRCA1* and 23% for *BRCA2* variant carriers.

### Prospective Studies

Women with a history of breast cancer and a *BRCA* variant have a significant risk of contralateral breast cancer. In a prospective study by Metcalfe et al (2004), the 10-year risk was 29.5% for women with initial stage I or II diseases.<sup>22</sup> In a prospective study, Epidemiological Study of Familial Breast Cancer, Mavaddat et al (2013) reported that the cumulative risk of contralateral breast cancer by age 70 years was 83% in the *BRCA1* variant carriers, and 62% for *BRCA2* variant carriers.<sup>23</sup> These investigators also reported cumulative risks of breast cancer by age 70 in women without previous cancer (60% in *BRCA1* carriers, 55% in *BRCA2* carriers). Similarly, the cumulative risk estimates of ovarian cancer by age 70 years in women without previous ovarian cancer were 59% for *BRCA1* carriers and 17% for *BRCA2* carriers.

### *BRCA* Variant Rates Associated With Ovarian Cancer

Women with a personal history of ovarian cancer have an increased rate of *BRCA* variants. In a systematic review of 23 studies, Trainer et al (2010) estimated the rate of *BRCA* variants among women with ovarian cancer to be 3% to 15%.<sup>24</sup> In this review, 3 U.S. studies tested for both *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*; incidences of *BRCA* variants were 11.3%, 15.3%, and 9.5%. In the systematic review for USPSTF by Nelson et al (2013), meta-analytic estimates of *BRCA* prevalence among women with ovarian cancer were 4.4% for *BRCA1* and 5.6% for *BRCA2*.<sup>17</sup> Table 2 lists the results from several additional studies measuring the presence of *BRCA* variants among patients with ovarian cancer.<sup>25,26,27,28,29</sup> One study noted that variant prevalence was higher for women in their 40s (24%) and for women with serous ovarian cancer (18%).<sup>25</sup> Ethnicity was another risk factor for *BRCA*, with higher rates seen in women of Italian (43.5%), Jewish (30%), and Indo-Pakistani (29.4%) origin.<sup>25</sup>

**Table 2. *BRCA* Variant Rates in Patients With Ovarian Cancer**

Study	Population	N	<i>BRCA</i> Variant, n (%)	
			<i>BRCA1</i>	<i>BRCA2</i>
Harter et al (2017) <sup>29</sup>	Patients with invasive ovarian cancer across 20 medical centers	523	81 (15.5)	29 (5.5)
Kurian et al (2017) <sup>26</sup>	Patients with invasive ovarian cancer tested for hereditary cancer risk from a commercial laboratory database	5020 <sup>a</sup>	255 (15.5)	199 (5.5)
Langer et al (2016) <sup>27</sup>	Patients with ovarian cancer tested for hereditary cancer risk from a commercial laboratory database	3088	153 (4.9)	124 (4.0)
Norquist et al (2016) <sup>28</sup>	Patients with invasive ovarian cancer, from 2 phase 3 clinical trials and a gynecologic oncology tissue bank	1915	182 (9.5)	98 (5.1)
Zhang et al (2011) <sup>25</sup>	Patients with invasive ovarian cancer	1342	107 (8.0)	67 (5.0)

<sup>a</sup> Total N was reported as 5020, however, the percentage of *BRCA* variants as reported in article is inconsistent with 5020 as the denominator.

### ***BRCA* Variant Rates Associated With Fallopian Tube Cancer**

A study by Hirst et al (2009) described the high rate of occult fallopian tube cancers in at-risk women having prophylactic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy.<sup>30</sup> In this prospective series of 45 women, 4 (9%) had fallopian tube malignancies. Reviewers noted that these findings supported other studies that have demonstrated the fimbrial end of the fallopian tube as an important site of cancer in those with *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variants.

A long-term study by Powell et al (2013; median follow-up, 7 years; range, 3-14 years) followed 32 *BRCA* variant carriers with occult malignancy (4 ovarian, 23 fallopian tube, 5 ovarian and fallopian tube) diagnosed of prophylactic salpingo-oophorectomy.<sup>31</sup> Among 15 women with invasive carcinoma (median age, 50 years), 7 (47%) experienced recurrence at a median of 33 months, and OS was 73%. Among 17 women with noninvasive neoplasia (median age, 53 years), 4 (24%) received chemotherapy, none of whom experienced recurrence. One (6%) patient who did not receive chemotherapy experienced recurrence at 43 months. OS was 100%. The authors concluded that, in *BRCA* variant carriers, unsuspected invasive carcinoma has a relatively high rate of recurrence, but noninvasive neoplasms rarely recur and may not require adjuvant chemotherapy.

### ***BRCA* Variant Rates Associated With Pancreatic Cancer**

Unaffected individuals also may be at high-risk due to other patterns of non-breast-cancer malignancies. A personal history of pancreatic cancer is estimated to raise the risk of a *BRCA* variant by 3.5- to 10-fold over the general population.<sup>32</sup> Table 3 lists the results from several studies measuring the presence of *BRCA* variants among patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma.<sup>33,34,35,36,37,38</sup> Patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma of Jewish descent appear to have a higher prevalence of *BRCA* variants compared with the general population of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

**Table 3. *BRCA* Variant Rates in Patients With Pancreatic Cancer**

Study	Population	N	<i>BRCA</i> Variant, n (%)	
			<i>BRCA1</i>	<i>BRCA2</i>
Hu et al (2018) <sup>38,a</sup>	Patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma from a prospective pancreatic cancer registry	3030	18 (0.6)	59 (1.9)
Yurgelun et al (2018) <sup>37</sup>	Patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma from 3 medical centers	289	3 (1.0)	4 (1.4)
Shindo et al (2017) <sup>36</sup>	Patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma from 1 medical center	854	3 (0.3)	12 (1.4)
Holter et al (2015) <sup>35</sup>	Patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma from a large academic health care complex	306	3 (1.0)	11 (3.6)
Ferrone et al (2009) <sup>34</sup>	Jewish patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma from 1 hospital	145	2 (1.3)	6 (4.1)
Couch et al (2007) <sup>33</sup>	Probands from high-risk families identified through pancreatic cancer clinics and a pancreatic tumor registry	180		10 (5.5)

<sup>a</sup> Case-control study; rates for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in controls were 0.2 and 0.3, respectively.

### ***BRCA* Variant Rates Associated With Prostate Cancer**

Table 4 lists the results from several studies measuring the presence of *BRCA* variants among patients with prostate cancer.<sup>39,40,41,</sup>

**Table 4. *BRCA* Variant Rates in Patients With Prostate Cancer**

Study	Population	N	<i>BRCA</i> Variant, n (%)	
			<i>BRCA1</i>	<i>BRCA2</i>
Abida et al (2017) <sup>41,</sup>	Patients with prostate cancer from 1 clinical practice	221	2 (1)	20 (9)
Pritchard et al (2016) <sup>40,</sup>	Patients with metastatic prostate cancer from 7 case series across multiple centers	692	6 (0.9)	37 (5.3)
Edwards et al (2003) <sup>39,</sup>	Patients with prostate cancer diagnosed before age 56 from 2 cancer study groups	263		6 (2.3)

### **Testing for Large *BRCA* Rearrangements**

A number of studies have shown that a significant percentage of women with a strong family history of breast cancer and negative tests for *BRCA* variants have large genomic rearrangements (including deletions or duplications) in 1 of these genes. For example, Walsh et al (2006) reported on probands from 300 U.S. families with 4 or more cases of breast or ovarian cancer but with negative (wild-type) commercial genetic tests for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*.<sup>42,</sup> These patients underwent screening with additional multiple DNA-based and RNA-based methods. Of these 300 patients, 17% carried previously undetected variants, including 35 (12%) with genomic rearrangement of *BRCA1* or *BRCA2*.

A study by Palma et al (2008) evaluated 251 patients with an estimated *BRCA* variant prevalence using the Myriad II model of at least 10%.<sup>43,</sup> In 136 non-Ashkenazi Jewish probands, 36 (26%) had *BRCA* point mutations and 8 (6%) had genomic rearrangements (7 in *BRCA1*, 1 in *BRCA2*). Genomic rearrangements comprised 18% of all identified *BRCA* variants. No genomic rearrangements were identified in the 115 Ashkenazi Jewish probands, but 47 (40%) had point mutations. The authors indicated that the estimated prevalence of a variant did not predict the presence of a genomic rearrangement.

### **Clinically Useful**

A test is clinically useful if the use of the results informs management decisions that improve the net health outcome of care. The net health outcome can be improved if patients receive correct therapy, or more effective therapy, or avoid unnecessary therapy, or avoid unnecessary testing.

### **Direct Evidence**

Direct evidence of clinical utility is provided by studies that have compared health outcomes for patients managed with and without the test. Because these are intervention studies, the preferred evidence would be from randomized controlled trials (RCTs). In their systematic review for the USPSTF, Nelson et al (2019) confirmed that they identified no studies that compared health outcomes for patients managed with and without *BRCA* variant testing.<sup>44,</sup>

Knowledge of variant status in individuals at potentially increased risk of a *BRCA* variant may impact health care decisions to reduce risk.<sup>45,46,47,48,49,50,51</sup> Risk-reducing options include intensive surveillance, chemoprevention, prophylactic mastectomy, or prophylactic oophorectomy.

Prophylactic mastectomy reduces the risk of breast cancer in high-risk women (based on family history) by 90%.<sup>46</sup> Prophylactic oophorectomy significantly reduces the risk of ovarian cancer by 80% or more<sup>49,50,52</sup>, and reduces the risk of breast cancer by approximately 50%.<sup>50</sup> In women who have already had breast cancer, prophylactic oophorectomy reduces the risk of cancer relapse.<sup>36</sup> Prophylactic oophorectomy or salpingo-oophorectomy in women with *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* reduced the risk of all-cause mortality by 60% to 77%.<sup>52,53</sup> For patients at risk for both breast and ovarian cancer, a study by Elmi et al (2018), drawing on data from the American College of Surgeon's National Surgical Quality Improvement Program dataset, found that prophylactic mastectomy with concurrent salpingo-oophorectomy was not associated with significant additional morbidity compared with prophylactic mastectomy alone.<sup>54</sup>

Systematic reviews of observational studies comparing prophylactic surgeries with observation in women who had *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants have demonstrated that contralateral prophylactic mastectomy in women with breast cancer is associated with significantly lower all-cause mortality while bilateral prophylactic mastectomy was not associated with all-cause mortality.<sup>55,56,57</sup> Studies have indicated that the results of genotyping significantly influenced treatment choices.<sup>47,58,51</sup>

In a systematic review for the USPSTF, Nelson et al (2019) assessed the efficacy of risk-reducing surgery in *BRCA*-positive women.<sup>44</sup> The literature search was conducted through March 2019. A total of 13 observational studies (n=9938) provided consistent and moderate-strength evidence of the benefits of risk-reducing surgery. For high-risk women and variant carriers, bilateral mastectomy reduced breast cancer incidence by 90% to 100% and breast cancer mortality by 81% to 100%; oophorectomy or salpingo-oophorectomy reduced breast cancer incidence by 37% to 83%, ovarian cancer incidence by 69% to 100%. Some women experienced reduced anxiety. Limitations of the studies of benefits included lack of comparison groups, variations in methodology and enrollment criteria, and heterogeneous outcome measures. Additionally, a total of 14 observational studies (n=3073) provided low-strength evidence of the harms of risk-reducing surgery. Adverse events included physical complications of the surgery, postsurgical symptoms, and changes in body image. Studies of harms shared the same limitations as the studies of benefits as noted above, with the addition that their findings were inconsistent and the sample sizes were smaller. As reviewers observed, it is still currently unknown whether *BRCA* variant testing reduces cause-specific or all-cause mortality, or if it improves the QOL. Harms associated with false-negative results or variants of uncertain significance also are unknown.

Other studies have looked at the results of prostate cancer screening in men with *BRCA* variants. The Immunotherapy for Prostate Adenocarcinoma Treatment study (2011) evaluated the results of screening in 205 men 40 to 69 years of age who were *BRCA* variant carriers and 95 control patients.<sup>59</sup> At the baseline screen, biopsies were performed in 7.0% of men with a prostate-specific antigen level greater than 3.0 ng/mL, and prostate cancer was identified in 3.3%. This resulted in a positive predictive value of 47.6%, which is considerably higher than that estimated for men at normal risk. Moreover, the grade of tumor identified was intermediate in 67% of cancers and high in 11%. This differs from the expected distribution of cancer grade in average-risk men, with more than 60% expected to have low-grade cancer.

### **Section Summary: Testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* Variants in Individuals at Risk for HBOC Syndrome or Other High-Risk Cancers**

Evidence for the clinical validity of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant testing consists of multiple studies that calculated *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant prevalence among samples of patients with HBOC syndrome, fallopian tube cancer, pancreatic cancer, and prostate cancer.

Regarding clinical utility of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant testing, current evidence has not directly evaluated management with and without genetic testing. In terms of prophylactic measures (mastectomy and oophorectomy), RCTs would be difficult to conduct. However, retrospective analyses have shown that prophylactic mastectomy and/or oophorectomy greatly reduced the risk of breast cancer (90-100%) and ovarian cancer (69%-100%).

### **TESTING FOR *BRCA1* AND *BRCA2* VARIANTS TO GUIDE SYSTEMIC THERAPY DECISIONS IN INDIVIDUALS WITH HBOC SYNDROME OR OTHER HIGH-RISK CANCERS**

#### **Clinical Context and Test Purpose**

The purpose of testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in individuals with HBOC Syndrome or other high-risk cancers considering systemic therapy options (*i.e.*, poly(adenosine diphosphate-ribose) polymerase [PARP] inhibitors for ovarian, prostate, or pancreatic cancer and metastatic human epidermal receptor 2 [HER]-negative breast cancer; platinum therapy for prostate cancer and pancreatic cancer) is to guide treatment selection.

The question addressed in this evidence review is: Does testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in individuals with HBOC Syndrome or other high-risk cancers to guide systematic therapy decisions improve the net health outcome?

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this review.

#### ***Populations***

The relevant population of interest is individuals with HBOC Syndrome or other high-risk cancers considering systemic therapy.

#### ***Intervention***

The test being considered is *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant testing.

#### ***Comparator***

The following practice is currently being used to manage HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers: standard of care without genetic testing.

#### ***Outcomes***

The outcomes of interest are overall survival (OS), disease-specific (breast and ovarian cancer) survival, test validity, and quality of life (QOL; *e.g.*, anxiety).

#### **Technically Reliable**

Assessment of technical reliability focuses on specific tests and operators and requires a review of unpublished and often proprietary information. Review of specific tests, operators, and

unpublished data are outside the scope of this evidence review and alternative sources exist. This evidence review focuses on the clinical validity and clinical utility.

### **Clinically Valid**

A test must detect the presence or absence of a condition, the risk of developing a condition in the future, or treatment response (beneficial or adverse).

### **Study Selection Criteria**

For the evaluation of the clinical validity of the genetic test, studies that reported on the sensitivity and specificity and/or diagnostic yield of the test were considered.

### **Clinically Useful**

A test is clinically useful if the use of the results informs management decisions that improve the net health outcome of care. The net health outcome can be improved if patients receive correct therapy, or more effective therapy, or avoid unnecessary therapy, or avoid unnecessary testing.

### **Study Selection Criteria**

Direct evidence of clinical utility is provided by studies that have compared health outcomes for patients managed with and without the test. Because these are intervention studies, the preferred evidence would be from RCTs.

## **CLINICAL UTILITY**

### **Direct Evidence**

There are no direct outcome data on the clinical usefulness of testing for confirmation of a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant in patients with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers (*i.e.*, no studies have reported outcomes data for patients tested and not tested for a variant).

### **Indirect Evidence**

A chain of indirect evidence would demonstrate that genetic testing can identify individuals with a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant associated with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers who would not otherwise be identified that treatments are available for these patients that would not otherwise be given to patients with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers, and that these treatments improve health outcomes.

### **Clinical Validity**

Studies of the clinical validity of testing for *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variants associated with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers are previously summarized.

### **Clinical Utility**

Numerous clinical trials have been conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of PARP inhibitor drugs in individuals with HBOC Syndrome or other high-risk cancers confirmed to have a *BRCA1/2* mutation. Summarized below are the pivotal trials that supported the *BRCA* mutation-related U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved indications.

#### ***Olaparib***

OlympiAD is a phase 3 RCT in which patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative metastatic breast cancer and a germline *BRCA* variant were randomized to

olaparib (n=205) or standard therapy (n=97).<sup>60</sup> BRCA1/2 mutation was detected by BRCAAnalysis testing. In its initial publication, Robson et al (2017) reported that after a median follow-up of 14.5 months, patients receiving olaparib experienced significantly longer progression-free survival compared with patients receiving standard therapy (HR, 0.6; 95% CI, 0.4 to 0.8).<sup>61</sup> The rate of grade 3 or higher adverse events was lower in the group receiving olaparib (37%) compared with the group receiving standard therapy (51%). However, regarding OS, in their subsequent publication, Robson et al (2019) further reported that although improvement with olaparib was not significant overall (19.3 vs 17.1 months; HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.23) there may be a benefit in the subgroup of patients who had not received chemotherapy for metastatic disease (HR, 0.51; 95% CI 0.29-0.90).<sup>62</sup>

Moore et al (2018) published results from the phase 3, international, multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of maintenance olaparib 300 mg twice daily in 391 patients with newly diagnosed advanced high-grade serous or endometrioid ovarian cancer, primary peritoneal cancer, and/or fallopian-tube cancer with a BRCA1/2 mutation following a complete or partial clinical response following platinum-based chemotherapy (SOLO-1).<sup>63</sup> A total of 177 sites participated across 15 countries (United States, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Netherlands, Poland, New Zealand, Russian Federation, Spain, United Kingdom). Participants were enrolled between September 2013 and March 2015. The primary tumor location was the ovary in 85% of participants. The primary end point was progression-free survival, which was assessed by investigators and defined as the time from randomization to objective disease progression on imaging (according to modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors [RECIST], version 1.1) or death from any cause. Median follow-up was 41 months. Median progression-free survival was 13.8 months in the placebo group and not reported for the olaparib group. At 3 years, the proportions of patients free from disease progression and from death was 60% for olaparib and 27% for placebo, resulting in a 70% lower risk of disease progression or death for olaparib (HR 0.30; 95% CI, 0.23 to 0.41). Grade 3 or higher adverse events occurred in 39% of the olaparib group and 18% of the placebo group, with the most common events being anemia (22%) and neutropenia (9%).

Pujade-Lauraine et al (2017) published results from the phase 3, international, multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of maintenance olaparib 300 mg twice daily in 295 patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed, high-grade serous ovarian cancer or high-grade endometrioid cancer, including primary peritoneal or fallopian tube cancer, with a BRCA1/2 mutation who had received at least 2 lines of previous chemotherapy (SOLO-2).<sup>64</sup> A total of 123 sites participated across 16 countries (United States, Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Netherlands, Poland, Russian Federation, Spain, United Kingdom). Participants were enrolled between September 2013 and November 2014. The primary tumor location was the ovary in 85% of participants. The primary endpoint was investigator-assessed progression-free survival, defined as the time from randomization until objective radiological disease progression or death using modified RECIST version 1.1. Median follow-up was 22.1 months in the olaparib group and 22.2 months in the placebo group. Olaparib resulted in a significantly longer progression-free survival (19.1 vs 5.5 months; HR 0.30, 95% CI, 0.22 to 0.41). Grades 3 and 4 adverse events occurred in 32% and 4% of olaparib patients, respectively and 15% and 3% of the placebo group. The most common grade 3 or higher adverse event in the olaparib group was anemia (19%).

### **Niraparib**

Mirza et al (2016) published results from the phase 3, international, multi-center, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 553 patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer that evaluated maintenance treatment with niraparib 300 mg once daily (NOVA).<sup>65</sup> This trial was conducted by the European Network for Gynecological Oncological Trial groups and investigators across 107 sites in the United States, Canada, and Hungary. Two independent cohorts were separately evaluated on the basis of the presence or absence of a germline *BRCA* mutation (*gBRCA* cohort and non-*gBRCA* cohort), as determined on BRACAnalysis testing. Participants were enrolled between August 2013 and June 2016 and the majority had stage III or IV ovarian cancer. The *gBRCA* cohort consisted of 201 individuals (36.3%). The primary endpoint was progression-free survival. Overall median follow-up duration was 16.9 months. Progression-free survival was significantly longer in the niraparib group, regardless of the presence or absence of *gBRCA* mutations (*gBRCA* cohort: 21.0 vs 5.5 months; HR 0.27, 95% CI, 0.17 to 0.41; non-*gBRCA* cohort: 9.3 vs 3.9 months; HR 0.45, 95% CI, 0.34 to 0.61). Thrombocytopenia (33.8%), anemia (25.3%), and neutropenia (19.6%) were the most common grade 3 or higher adverse events in the niraparib group.

Moore et al (2019) published results from the phase 2, multi-center, single-arm clinical trial of niraparib monotherapy 300 mg once daily in individuals with relapsed, high-grade serous (grade 2 or 3) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who had been treated with 3 or more previous chemotherapy regimens (QUADRA).<sup>63</sup> Between April 2015 and November 2017, this trial enrolled 463 patients across 56 sites in the United States and Canada. All participants underwent tumor homologous recombination deficiency (HRD) testing and blood germline *BRCA*-mutated status testing and were stratified into 4 cohorts: *BRCA*-mutated, HRD-positive/non-*BRCA*-mutated, HRD-negative, and HRD-unknown. The majority of participants had ovarian cancer (79%). The *BRCA*-mutated cohort consisted of 87 (19%) participants. In the *BRCA*-mutated cohort, the primary endpoint of investigator-assessed confirmed overall response was met by 30% (95% CI, 17% to 64%) and 36% of patients with stable disease at 24 weeks had a progression-free survival ratio greater than 1.3 (9/25). In the overall population, anemia (24%) and thrombocytopenia (21%) were the most frequent grade 3 or higher adverse events. A key limitation of this trial is its lack of a control group.

### **Rucaparib**

Coleman et al (2017) published results from the phase 3, international, multi-center, double-blind trial of 564 patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer that compared rucaparib maintenance treatment to placebo following response to second-line or later platinum-based chemotherapy (ARIEL3).<sup>66</sup> A total of 87 sites participated across 11 countries (United States, Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Israel, Italy, New Zealand, Spain, United Kingdom). Germline mutations were identified using the BRACAnalysis CDx test. Tumor tissue samples were tested using a clinical trial assay and the FoundationFocus CDx test. Three nested cohorts were evaluated: patients with *BRCA* mutations, patient with homologous recombination deficiencies, and the intention-to-treat populations. Participants were enrolled between April 2014 and July 2016 and the majority had epithelial ovarian cancer (84%). A total of 196 (34.8%) had *BRCA1/2* mutations. The primary endpoint was progression-free survival, which was significantly longer in the rucaparib group in the *BRCA*-mutant cohort (16.6 months vs 5.4 months; HR 0.23, 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.34), the homologous recombination deficient carcinoma cohort (13.6 months vs 5.4 months; HR 0.32, 95% CI, 0.24 to 0.42), and in the intention-to-treat cohort (10.8 months vs 5.4 months; HR 0.36, 95% CI, 0.30 to 0.45). Grade 3

or higher adverse events were reported in 56% of patients in the rucaparib group compared with 15% in the placebo group. The most common of these were anemia or decreased hemoglobin concentration.

Kristeleit et al (2019) published integrated results from 2 multi-center, single-arm, open-label trials of rucaparib 600 mg twice daily (Study 10 and ARIEL2) in patients with high-grade serous or endometrioid epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer and a deleterious *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation who had progressed after receiving 2 or more prior chemotherapies (including 2 or more platinum-based therapies).<sup>67</sup> The majority of patients had epithelial ovarian cancer (87.3%). The efficacy population consisted of 79 patients who took at least 1 dose of rucaparib. Median treatment and follow-up durations were not reported. The primary end point was investigator-assessed, confirmed objective response rate, which was 64.6% (95% CI, 53.0% to 75.0%). Median progression-free survival was 332 days (95% CI, 255 to 391). Grade 3 or greater adverse events occurred in 63.2% of patients, which were most frequently decreased hemoglobin (24.2%), asthenia/fatigue (11.3%) and alanine/aspartate aminotransferase increased (10.8%).

Abida et al (2020) published results from the phase 2, multi-center, single-arm clinical trial of rucaparib in patients with *BRCA*-mutated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) that supported its accelerated FDA approval in 2020 (TRITON2).<sup>68</sup> This trial enrolled 115 patients who were treated with rucaparib 600 mg twice daily. For the efficacy population, median treatment duration was 8.1 months and median follow-up was 17.1 months. The primary endpoint of objective response rate, which was rated by blinded, independent radiology review, was 43.5% (95% CI, 31.0% to 56.7%). Median radiographic progression-free survival duration was 9.0 months (95% CI, 8.3 to 13.5). Anemia was the most frequent grade 3 or higher adverse event (25.2%). A key limitation of this trial is its lack of a control group. Continued approval for this indication for rucaparib may be contingent upon verification of progression-free survival in the ongoing confirmatory TRITON3 trial (NCT02975934), which is a randomized, controlled phase 3 trial evaluating rucaparib 600 mg twice daily versus physician's choice treatment in patients with mCRPC and a deleterious germline or somatic *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, or *ATM* mutation.

### ***Talazoparib***

Litton et al (2018) published results from a phase 3, randomized, open-label trial of 431 patients with advanced breast cancer and a germline *BRCA1/2* mutation that compared talazoparib 1 mg once daily to standard single-agent therapy (EMBRACA).<sup>69</sup> *BRCA1/2* mutation was detected by BRACAnalysis testing. The primary endpoint was progression-free survival. Median duration of follow-up for that endpoint was 11.2 months. Progression-free survival was significantly longer in the talazoparib group (8.6 months vs 5.6 months; HR 0.54, 95% CI, 0.41 to 0.71). The rate of overall grade 3 or higher adverse events was similar for talazoparib compared with the standard care (25.5% vs 25.4%), but hematologic grade 3-4 adverse events (primarily anemia) were more frequent for talazoparib (55% vs 38%) compared with nonhematologic grade 3-4 adverse events (32% vs 38%). Based on the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Quality of Life Questionnaire (QLQ-C30), compared to baseline, there was a significant improvement in the talazoparib group (+3.0; 95% CI, 1.2 to 4.8) and a significant decline in the standard therapy group (-5.4; 95% CI, -8.8 to -2.0). Although the trial was open-label, assessment of the primary outcome was based on blinded independent central review.

### **Section Summary: Testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* Variants to Guide Treatment in Individuals with HBOC Syndrome or Other High-Risk Cancers**

No studies were identified that have directly compared health outcomes in patients with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers who did and did not use *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variant testing to guide systemic treatment decisions. Evidence for the use of testing for *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in individuals with HBOC Syndrome or other high-risk cancers to guide systematic therapy decisions consists of a chain of indirect studies demonstrating that genetic testing can identify individuals with a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant associated with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers who would not otherwise be identified, that treatments are available for these patients that would not otherwise be given to patients with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers, and that these treatments improve health outcomes. The numerous placebo-controlled RCTs of PARP inhibitor drugs have consistently demonstrated that, in individuals identified by genetic testing as having a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant associated with HBOC syndrome or other high-risk cancers, treatment with PARP inhibitor drugs significantly improve progression-free survival time. In individuals with ovarian cancer and a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* mutation that were followed for a median of 17 to 36 months, treatment with a PARP inhibitor drug resulted in a 70% to 77% lower risk of disease progression or death. In individuals with a *BRCA1/2* mutation and either HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer or other advanced breast cancer who were followed for 11-12 months, treatment with a PARP inhibitor drug resulted in a 40% to 46% lower risk of disease progression or death. In individuals with *BRCA*-mutated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, the accelerated FDA approval of rucaparib was based on a phase 2, multi-center, single-arm clinical trial which demonstrated a benefit on a surrogate outcome of objective response rate. Continued approval for this indication for rucaparib may be contingent upon verification of the clinical outcome, progression-free survival in the ongoing randomized, standard care-controlled confirmatory TRITON3 trial (NCT02975934). Rates of overall Grade 3 or 4 adverse events ranged from 25.5% to 63.2% across PARP inhibitor drugs.

### **Summary of Evidence**

For individuals who have cancer or a personal or family cancer history and meet criteria suggesting a risk of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer (HBOC) syndrome who receive genetic testing for a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant, the evidence includes a TEC Assessment and studies of variant prevalence and cancer risk. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, test validity, and quality of life. The accuracy of variant testing has been shown to be high. Studies of lifetime risk of cancer for carriers of a *BRCA* variant have shown a risk as high as 85%. Knowledge of *BRCA* variant status in individuals at risk of a *BRCA* variant may impact health care decisions to reduce risk, including intensive surveillance, chemoprevention, and/or prophylactic intervention. In individuals with *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variants, prophylactic mastectomy and oophorectomy have been found to significantly increase disease-specific survival and OS. Knowledge of *BRCA* variant status in individuals diagnosed with breast cancer may impact treatment decisions.

For individuals who have other high-risk cancers (e.g., cancers of the fallopian tube, pancreas, prostate) who receive genetic testing for a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant, the evidence includes studies of variant prevalence and cancer risk. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and quality of life. The accuracy of variant testing has been shown to be high. Knowledge of *BRCA* variant status in individuals with other high-risk cancers can inform decisions regarding genetic counseling, chemotherapy, and enrollment in clinical trials. The

evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with HBOC Syndrome or other high-risk cancers considering systemic therapy options who receive genetic testing for a *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* variant, the evidence includes several randomized controlled trials (RCT) and single-arm trials. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and quality of life. The numerous placebo-controlled RCTs of PARP inhibitor drugs have consistently demonstrated that, in individuals with HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer, other advanced breast cancer, or ovarian cancer and a germline *BRCA* variant, treatment with PARP inhibitor drugs significantly improve progression-free survival time. In individuals with *BRCA*-mutated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, a single-arm clinical trial of rucaparib demonstrated a benefit on a surrogate outcome of objective response rate and evaluation of its effects on progression-free survival is pending completion of the ongoing randomized, standard care-controlled confirmatory TRITON3 trial (NCT02975934). Rates of overall Grade 3 or 4 adverse events ranged from 25.5% to 63.2% across PARP inhibitor drugs. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

## **SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

### **Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

In response to requests, input was received for 3 physician specialty societies (5 reviewers) and 3 academic medical centers (5 reviewers) while this policy was under review in 2010. Those providing input were in general agreement with the Policy statements considering testing for genomic rearrangements of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* as medically necessary and with adding fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer as *BRCA*-associated malignancies to assess when obtaining the family history.

### **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

#### **National Comprehensive Cancer Network**

##### **Breast Cancer and Ovarian Cancer**

Current NCCN (v.1.2021) guidelines on the genetic and familial high-risk assessment of breast and ovarian cancers include criteria for identifying individuals who should be referred for further risk assessment and separate criteria for genetic testing.<sup>70</sup> Patients who satisfy any of the testing criteria listed in Table 5 should undergo “further personalized risk assessment, genetic counseling, and often genetic testing and management.” For these criteria, both invasive and in situ breast cancers were included. Maternal and paternal sides of the family should be considered independently for familial patterns of cancer. Testing of unaffected individuals should be considered “only when an appropriate affected family member is unavailable for testing.”

BRCA1 and BRCA2 somatic variants are uncommon. The NCCN recommends if a somatic variant is identified through tumor profiling, then BRCA1 and BRCA2 germline testing is recommended.

**Table 5. BRCA1 and BRCA2 Testing Criteria for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Syndrome**

Recommendations
<p><b>Testing is clinically indicated in the following scenarios:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Individuals with any blood relative with a known pathogenic/likely pathogenic variant in a cancer susceptibility gene.</li><li>2. Individuals meeting the criteria below but with previous limited testing (e.g., single gene and/or absent deletion duplication analysis) interested in pursuing multi-gene testing.</li><li>3. Personal history of cancer<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>o Breast Cancer with at least 1 of the following:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Diagnosed age <math>\leq 45</math> years</li><li>b. Diagnosed age <math>\leq 46</math> to 50 years AND:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Unknown or limited family history; or</li><li>▪ A second breast cancer diagnosed at any age; or</li><li>▪ <math>\geq 1</math> close blood relative with breast, ovarian, pancreatic or prostate cancer at any age</li></ul></li><li>c. Diagnosed age <math>\leq 60</math> years with a triple-negative (ER-, PR-, HER2-) breast cancer</li><li>d. Diagnosed any age AND:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Ashkenazi Jewish Ancestry; or</li><li>▪ <math>\geq 1</math> close blood relative with breast cancer at age <math>\leq 50</math> y or ovarian, pancreatic, or metastatic or intraductal/cribriform prostate cancer at any age or high-risk group or very-high-risk group prostate cancer at any age; or</li><li>▪ <math>\geq 3</math> total diagnoses of breast cancer in patient and/or close blood relatives</li></ul></li><li>e. Diagnosed any age with male breast cancer.</li></ol></li><li>o Personal history of epithelial ovarian cancer (including fallopian tube cancer or peritoneal cancer) at any age</li><li>o Exocrine pancreatic cancer at any age</li><li>o Metastatic or intraductal/cribriform prostate cancer at any age; or high-risk or very-high-risk prostate cancer</li><li>o Prostate cancer at any age with:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry; or</li><li>b. <math>\geq 1</math> close relative with breast cancer at age <math>\leq 50</math> y or ovarian, pancreatic, or metastatic or intraductal/cribriform prostate cancer at any age; or</li><li>c. <math>\geq 2</math> close relatives with breast or prostate cancer (any grade) at any age.</li></ol></li><li>o A mutation identified on tumor genomic testing that has clinical implications if also identified in the germline</li><li>o To aid in systemic therapy decision-making, such as for HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer (e.g., PARP inhibitors for ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, and metastatic HER2-negative breast cancer; platinum therapy for prostate cancer and pancreatic cancer)</li></ol></li><li>4. Family history of cancer<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>o An affected or unaffected individual with a first- or second-degree blood relative meeting any of the criteria listed above (except individuals who meet criteria only for systemic therapy decision-making) (this may be extended to an affected third-degree relative if related through 2 male relatives [e.g., paternal grandfather's mother or sister]). Note: if the individual with cancer has pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer (metastatic or intraductal/cribriform or high-risk group or very-high-risk group) then only first-degree</li></ol></li></ol>

<b>Recommendations</b>
relatives should be offered testing unless there are other family history indications for testing. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ An affected or unaffected individual who does not meet the criteria above but has a probability &gt;5% of a <i>BRCA1/2</i> pathogenic variant based on prior probability models (e.g., Tyrer Cuzick, BRCAPro, PennII).</li></ul>
<b>Testing may be considered in the following scenarios (with appropriate pre-test education and access to post-test management):</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Bilateral breast cancer, first diagnosed between the ages of 50 and 65 y.</li><li>2. An unaffected Ashkenazi Jewish individual (Testing for 3 founder mutations of <i>BRCA 1/2</i> may be offered to Jewish ancestry, irrespective of cancer history in the family, as part of longitudinal studies)</li><li>3. An affected or unaffected individual who otherwise does not meet any of the above criteria but with a 2.5%-5% probability of <i>BRCA1/2</i> pathogenic variant based on prior probability models (e.g., Tyrer-Cuzick, BRCAPro, PennII)</li></ol>
<b>There is a low probability (&lt;2.5%) that testing will have findings of documented clinical utility in the following scenarios:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Women diagnosed with breast cancer at age &gt;65 y, with no close relative with breast, ovarian, pancreatic, or prostate cancer.</li><li>2. Men diagnosed with localized prostate cancer with Gleason Score &lt;7 and no close relative with breast, ovarian, pancreatic, or prostate cancer.</li></ol>

ER: estrogen receptor; *HER2*: human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; PR: progesterone receptor.

Additionally, the NCCN Ovarian Cancer guidelines (v1.2020 ) recommend tumor molecular testing prior to initiation of therapy for persistent/recurrent disease (OV-6) and describe in multiple algorithms that testing should include at least *BRCA1/2* and microsatellite instability or DNA mismatch repair, and evaluation of homologous recombination deficiency can be considered (OV-6, OV-7, OV-B Principles of Pathology, OV-C Principles of Systemic Therapy).<sup>70</sup>

### Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma

Current NCCN guidelines for pancreatic adenocarcinoma (v.1.2020 ) refers to the NCCN guidelines on genetic/familial high-risk assessment of breast and ovarian detailed above, and state: "Germline testing is recommended for any patient with confirmed pancreatic cancer, using comprehensive gene panels for hereditary cancer syndromes."<sup>71</sup>

### Prostate Cancer

The current NCCN guidelines for prostate cancer are version 1.2020.<sup>72</sup> The Principles of Genetics section (PROS-B) includes the following statements regarding Germline Testing:

- Germline genetic testing is recommended for patients with prostate cancer and a family history of high-risk germline mutations (e.g., *BRCA1/2*, Lynch mutation)
- "Family history for known germline variants and genetic testing for germline variants should include *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, and *PMS2* (for Lynch Syndrome) and homologous recombination genes *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *ATM*, *PALB2*, and *CHEK2*. Consider cancer predisposition NGS panel testing, which includes *BRCA1*, *BRCA2*, *ATM*, *CHEK2*, *PALB2*, *MLH1*, *MSH2*, *MSH6*, and *PMS2*."

The Principles of Genetics section (PROS-B) includes the following statements regarding Somatic Tumor Testing:

- "Recommend evaluating tumor for alterations in homologous recombination DNA repair such as: BRCA1, BRCA2, ATM, PALB2, FANCA, RAD51D, CHEK2 and CDK12, in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. This testing can be considered in men with regional prostate cancer."
- "At present, this information may be used for genetic counseling, early use of platinum chemotherapy, olaparib, and/or eligibility for clinical trials (e.g., PARP inhibitors)."
- "If mutations in BRCA2, BRCA1, ATM, CHEK2, or PALB2 are found and/or there is a strong family history of cancer, refer to genetic counseling for confirmatory germline testing."

### **American Society of Clinical Oncology**

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) has released statements on genetic and genomic testing for cancer susceptibility since 1996. The ASCO (2003) recommended that cancer predisposition testing be offered when 3 factors are at play: (1) there is a personal or family history suggesting genetic cancer susceptibility, (2) the test can be adequately interpreted, and (3) results will influence medical management of the patient or family member at hereditary risk of cancer.<sup>73</sup> A 2010 update of this statement recommended that "genetic tests with uncertain clinical utility, including genomic risk assessment, be administered in the context of clinical trials."<sup>74</sup> A 2015 update affirmed that multigene panel testing "is sufficient for cancer risk assessment to evaluate genes of established clinical utility that are suggested by the patient's personal and/or family history."<sup>75</sup>

### **Society of Gynecologic Oncology**

In 2015, the Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO) published an evidence-based consensus statement on risk assessment for inherited gynecologic cancer.<sup>76</sup> The statement included criteria for recommending genetic assessment (counseling with or without testing) to patients who may be genetically predisposed to breast or ovarian cancer. Overall, the SGO and the NCCN recommendations are very similar; the main differences are the exclusion of women with breast cancer onset at age 50 years or younger who have 1 or more first-, second-, or third-degree relatives with breast cancer at any age; women with breast cancer or history of breast cancer who have a first-, second-, or third-degree male relative with breast cancer; and men with a personal history of breast cancer. Additionally, SGO recommended genetic assessment for unaffected women who have a male relative with breast cancer. Moreover, SGO indicated that some patients who do not satisfy criteria may still benefit from genetic assessment (e.g., few female relatives, hysterectomy, or oophorectomy at a young age in multiple family members, or adoption in the lineage).

### **American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists**

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (2017, reaffirmed 2019) published a Practice Bulletin on hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome.<sup>77</sup> The following recommendation was based primarily on consensus and expert opinion (level C): "Genetic testing is recommended when the results of a detailed risk assessment that is performed as part of genetic counseling suggest the presence of an inherited cancer syndrome for which specific genes have been identified and when the results of testing are likely to influence medical management."

### **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**

In 2019, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence published technical appraisal guidance on olaparib for maintenance treatment of *BRCA* mutation-positive advanced ovarian,

fallopian tube or peritoneal cancer after response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy (TA598).<sup>78</sup> This Guidance recommended olaparib as an option for the maintenance treatment of BRCA mutation-positive, advanced (Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics [FIGO] stages 3 and 4), high-grade epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer that has responded to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy in adults.

### U.S. Preventive Services Task Force

Current USPSTF recommendations (2019)<sup>79</sup>, for genetic testing of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants in women state:

"The USPSTF recommends that primary care clinicians assess women with a personal or family history of breast, ovarian, tubal, or peritoneal cancer or who have an ancestry associated with *BRCA1/2* gene mutation with an appropriate brief familial risk assessment tool. Women with a positive result on the risk assessment tool should receive genetic counseling and, if indicated after counseling, genetic testing (B recommendation). The USPSTF recommends against routine risk assessment, genetic counseling, or genetic testing for women whose personal or family history or ancestry is not associated with potentially harmful *BRCA1/2* gene mutations. (D recommendation)"

Recommended screening tools included the Ontario Family History Assessment Tool, Manchester Scoring System, Referral Screening Tool, Pedigree Assessment Tool, 7-Question Family History Screening Tool, International Breast Cancer Intervention Study instrument (Tyrer-Cuziak), and brief versions of the BRCAPRO.

### Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 6.

**Table 6. Summary of Key Trials**

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date (status if beyond Completion Date)
<i>Ongoing</i>			
NCT02154672	Prostate Cancer Screening in Men With Germline BRCA2 Mutations	100	May 2018 (unknown )
NCT02225015	Cancer Prevention in Women With a BRCA Mutation	300	Jun 2019 (unknown)
NCT02975934	TRITON3: A Multicenter, Randomized, Open Label Phase 3 Study of Rucaparib Versus Physician's Choice of Therapy for Patients With Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer Associated With Homologous Recombination Deficiency	400	Apr 2022
NCT03246841	Investigation of Tumour Spectrum, Penetrance and Clinical Utility of Germline Mutations in New Breast and Ovarian Cancer Susceptibility Genes	500	Dec 2023

NCT02855944	ARIEL4 (Assessment of Rucaparib In Ovarian CancEr Trial): A Phase 3 Multicenter, Randomized Study of Rucaparib Versus Chemotherapy in Patients With Relapsed, BRCA Mutant, High Grade Epithelial Ovarian, Fallopian Tube, or Primary Peritoneal Cancer	345	Jun 2024
NCT02321228	Early Salpingectomy (Tubectomy) With Delayed Oophorectomy in BRCA1/2 Gene Mutation Carriers (TUBA)	510	Jan 2035
NCT04090567	Overcoming PARP Inhibitor Resistance in BRCA Germline Mutation Positive Advanced Breast Cancer	60	June 2021
NCT03740165	A Randomized Phase 3, Double-Blind Study of Chemotherapy With or Without Pembrolizumab Followed by Maintenance With Olaparib or Placebo for the First-Line Treatment of BRCA Non-mutated Advanced Epithelial Ovarian Cancer (EOC) (KEYLYNK-001/ENGOT-ov43)	1086	August 2025
NCT02032823	A Randomised, Double-blind, Parallel Group, Placebo-controlled Multi-centre Phase III Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Olaparib Versus Placebo as Adjuvant Treatment in Patients With gBRCA1/2 Mutations and High Risk HER2 Negative Primary Breast Cancer Who Have Completed Definitive Local Treatment and Neoadjuvant or Adjuvant Chemotherapy	1836	Nov 2028

NCT: national clinical trial.

## **CODING**

**The following codes for treatment and procedures applicable to this policy are included below for informational purposes. Inclusion or exclusion of a procedure, diagnosis or device code(s) does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage of these services as it applies to an individual member.**

### CPT/HCPCS

- 81162 BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated), BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; full sequence analysis and full duplication/deletion analysis (i.e., detection of large gene rearrangements)
- 81163 BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated), BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; full sequence analysis
- 81164 BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated), BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; full duplication/deletion analysis (i.e., detection of large gene rearrangements)
- 81165 BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; full sequence analysis
- 81166 BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; full duplication/deletion analysis (i.e., detection of large gene rearrangements)
- 81167 BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; full duplication/deletion analysis (i.e., detection of large gene rearrangements)

- 81212 BRCA 1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated), BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; 185delAG, 5385insC, 6174delT variants
- 81215 BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; known familial variant
- 81216 BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; full sequence analysis
- 81217 BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) gene analysis; known familial variant
- 81432 panels including BRCA
- 81433 panels including BRCA
- 0102U panels including BRCA
- 0103U panels including BRCA
- 0129U Hereditary breast cancer–related disorders (e.g., hereditary breast cancer, hereditary ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer), genomic sequence analysis and deletion/duplication analysis panel (ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDH1, CHEK2, PALB2, PTEN, and TP53)
- 0131U Hereditary breast cancer–related disorders (e.g., hereditary breast cancer, hereditary ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer), targeted mRNA sequence analysis panel (13 genes)
- 0132U Hereditary ovarian cancer–related disorders (e.g., hereditary breast cancer, hereditary ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer), targeted mRNA sequence analysis panel (17 genes)
- 0134U Hereditary pan cancer (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer, hereditary endometrial cancer, hereditary colorectal cancer), targeted mRNA sequence analysis panel (18 genes)
- 0138U BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated), BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) (e.g., hereditary breast and ovarian cancer) mRNA sequence analysis (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- 0172U Oncology (solid tumor as indicated by the label), somatic mutation analysis of BRCA1 (BRCA1, DNA repair associated), BRCA2 (BRCA2, DNA repair associated) and analysis of homologous recombination deficiency pathways, DNA, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue, algorithm quantifying tumor genomic instability score

### ICD-10 Diagnoses

- C25.0 Malignant Neoplasm Of Head Of Pancreas
- C25.1 Malignant Neoplasm Of Body Of Pancreas
- C25.2 Malignant Neoplasm Of Tail Of Pancreas
- C25.3 Malignant Neoplasm Of Pancreatic Duct
- C25.4 Malignant Neoplasm Of Endocrine Pancreas
- C25.7 Malignant Neoplasm Of Other Parts Of Pancreas
- C25.8 Malignant Neoplasm Of Overlapping Sites Of Pancreas
- C50.011 Malignant neoplasm of nipple and areola, right female breast
- C50.012 Malignant neoplasm of nipple and areola, left female breast
- C50.021 Malignant neoplasm of nipple and areola, right male breast
- C50.022 Malignant neoplasm of nipple and areola, left male breast
- C50.111 Malignant neoplasm of central portion of right female breast

- C50.112 Malignant neoplasm of central portion of left female breast
- C50.121 Malignant neoplasm of central portion of right male breast
- C50.122 Malignant neoplasm of central portion of left male breast
- C50.211 Malignant neoplasm of upper-inner quadrant of right female breast
- C50.212 Malignant neoplasm of upper-inner quadrant of left female breast
- C50.221 Malignant neoplasm of upper-inner quadrant of right male breast
- C50.222 Malignant neoplasm of upper-inner quadrant of left male breast
- C50.311 Malignant neoplasm of lower-inner quadrant of right female breast
- C50.312 Malignant neoplasm of lower-inner quadrant of left female breast
- C50.321 Malignant neoplasm of lower-inner quadrant of right male breast
- C50.322 Malignant neoplasm of lower-inner quadrant of left male breast
- C50.411 Malignant neoplasm of upper-outer quadrant of right female breast
- C50.412 Malignant neoplasm of upper-outer quadrant of left female breast
- C50.421 Malignant neoplasm of upper-outer quadrant of right male breast
- C50.422 Malignant neoplasm of upper-outer quadrant of left male breast
- C50.511 Malignant neoplasm of lower-outer quadrant of right female breast
- C50.512 Malignant neoplasm of lower-outer quadrant of left female breast
- C50.521 Malignant neoplasm of lower-outer quadrant of right male breast
- C50.522 Malignant neoplasm of lower-outer quadrant of left male breast
- C50.611 Malignant neoplasm of axillary tail of right female breast
- C50.612 Malignant neoplasm of axillary tail of left female breast
- C50.621 Malignant neoplasm of axillary tail of right male breast
- C50.622 Malignant neoplasm of axillary tail of left male breast
- C50.811 Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of right female breast
- C50.812 Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of left female breast
- C50.821 Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of right male breast
- C50.822 Malignant neoplasm of overlapping sites of left male breast
- C50.911 Malignant neoplasm of unspecified site of right female breast
- C50.912 Malignant neoplasm of unspecified site of left female breast
- C50.921 Malignant neoplasm of unspecified site of right male breast
- C50.922 Malignant neoplasm of unspecified site of left male breast
- C56.1 Malignant neoplasm of right ovary
- C56.2 Malignant neoplasm of left ovary
- C57.00 Malignant Neoplasm Of Unspecified Fallopian Tube
- C57.01 Malignant Neoplasm Of Right Fallopian Tube
- C57.02 Malignant Neoplasm Of Left Fallopian Tube
- C61 Malignant Neoplasm Of Prostate
- C79.61 Secondary malignant neoplasm of right ovary
- C79.62 Secondary malignant neoplasm of left ovary
- C79.81 Secondary malignant neoplasm of breast
- D05.01 Lobular carcinoma in situ of right breast
- D05.02 Lobular carcinoma in situ of left breast
- D05.11 Intraductal carcinoma in situ of right breast
- D05.12 Intraductal carcinoma in situ of left breast
- D05.81 Other specified type of carcinoma in situ of right breast
- D05.82 Other specified type of carcinoma in situ of left breast
- D05.91 Unspecified type of carcinoma in situ of right breast
- D05.92 Unspecified type of carcinoma in situ of left breast

- Z13.71 Encounter For Nonprocreative Screening For Genetic Disease Carrier Status
- Z80.3 Family history of malignant neoplasm of breast
- Z80.41 Family history of malignant neoplasm of ovary
- Z85.3 Personal history of malignant neoplasm of breast
- Z85.41 Personal history of malignant neoplasm of ovary

## REVISIONS

01-01-2012	<p>In the Policy section: Formatting changes to the policy language.</p> <p>In the Coding section: Added new codes: 81211, 81212, 81213, 81214, 81215, 81216, 81217</p>
10-04-2012	<p>Updated Description section.</p> <p>In the Policy section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In Item II, removed "Further genetic testing by rearrangement analysis (BART—BRAC Analysis Rearrangement Test) is experimental / investigational (rearrangement analysis includes sequencing the coding regions and intron/exon splice sites as well as tests to detect large dilations and rearrangements that can be missed with sequence analysis only)" and inserted "Testing for genomic rearrangements of the <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> genes (BART—BRAC Analysis Rearrangement Test) may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet criteria for <i>BRCA</i> testing, whose testing for point mutations is negative and either (1) there are 3 or more family members (one lineage) affected with breast or ovarian or fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer or (2) who have a risk of a <i>BRCA</i> mutation of at least 10%."</li> <li>▪ In the Policy Guidelines, added "#7 Comprehensive mutation analysis currently includes sequencing the coding regions and intron/exon splice sites, as well as tests to detect common large deletions and rearrangements (BART—BRAC Analysis Rearrangement Test) that can be missed with sequence analysis alone. However, current routine laboratory testing for genomic rearrangement is more limited than the criteria noted in the policy statement; automatic testing is specified for those with a risk of <i>BRCA</i> mutation of at least 30%. In addition, prior to August 2006, testing for large deletions and rearrangements was not performed, thus some patients with familial breast cancer who had negative <i>BRCA</i> testing prior to this time may consider repeat testing for the rearrangements (see Policy statement for criteria). These rates are calculated using the Myriad II risk model (Available online at: <a href="http://www.myriadtests.com">www.myriadtests.com</a>)."</li> </ul> <p>Updated Reference section.</p> <p>Updated Reference section.</p>
10-26-2012	<p>In the Policy section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In the Policy Guidelines section, #7, corrected website, "<a href="http://www.myriadtests.com">www.myriadtests.com</a>" to "<a href="http://www.myriadpro.com/brca-risk-calculator">www.myriadpro.com/brca-risk-calculator</a>".</li> </ul>
01-15-2013	<p>In the Coding section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Added CPT code: 81406</li> <li>▪ Removed CPT codes: 83890, 83891, 83892, 83893, 83894, 83896, 83912, 83913 (Effective 12-31-2012)</li> </ul>
02-26-2013	<p>Updated Description section.</p> <p>In the Policy section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In Item I, B, added "10. Diagnosed at any age with breast cancer or pancreatic cancer, who are not from families with high risk of <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> mutation, but are affected with one of the following:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Early onset breast cancer</li> <li>○ Two breast primary cancers with the first cancer diagnosis occurring prior to age 50 years;</li> <li>○ Triple negative breast cancer (neither express estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor, nor overexposure HER2) diagnosed at younger than age 60.</li> <li>○ Two or more close blood relatives with pancreatic cancer at any age.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In Item II, removed "and either (1) there are 3 or more family members (one lineage) affected with breast or ovarian or fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer or (2) who have a risk of a BRCA mutation of at least 10%." to read "Testing for genomic rearrangements of the <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> genes (BART-BRAC Analysis Rearrangement Test) may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet criteria for BRCA testing, whose testing for point mutations is negative."</li> </ul>
	Updated Rationale section.
	In Coding section:
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Removed HCPCS codes: S3818, S3819, S3820, S3822, S3823</li> </ul>
	Updated Reference section.
07-22-2013	In Coding section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Maintenance completed on coding section, correcting "V16.4" to read "V16.41".</li> </ul>
12-11-2013	In Coding section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Added ICD-10 Diagnosis (<i>Effective October 1, 2014</i>)</li> </ul>
08-28-2014	Description section updated.
	<p>In Policy section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The following medical policy language was removed from the policy and replaced with policy language that mirrors the NCCN criteria (See policy section). This update liberalized the policy and did not restrict any portion of the policy.</li> </ul> <p>"I. Genetic testing may be considered medically necessary under any one of the following circumstances:</p> <p>A. Member of family with a known <i>BRCA1/BRCA2</i> mutation</p> <p>B. Personal history of breast cancer plus one or more of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Diagnosed at 45 years of age or younger</li> <li>2. Diagnosed at 50 years of age or younger with: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. one or more close blood relatives with breast cancer at 50 years of age or younger; and/or</li> <li>b. one or more close blood relatives with epithelial ovarian / fallopian tube / primary peritoneal cancer</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Two breast primaries when first breast cancer diagnosis occurred prior to age 50</li> <li>4. Diagnosed at any age with two or more close blood relatives with breast and/or epithelial ovarian / fallopian tube / primary peritoneal cancer at any age</li> <li>5. Close male blood relative with breast cancer</li> <li>6. For an individual of ethnicity associated with deleterious mutations (e.g., founder populations of Ashkenazi Jewish, Icelandic, Swedish, Hungarian or other) no additional family history may be required</li> <li>7. Diagnosed age &lt; 60 years with a triple negative breast cancer [estrogen receptors (ER-), progesterone receptors (PR-), and HER2 (HER2-)]</li> <li>8. Diagnosed age &lt;50 years with a limited family history (see policy guidelines)</li> <li>9. Personal history of breast and / or ovarian cancer at any age with ≥ 2 close blood relatives with pancreatic cancer at any age</li> <li>10. Diagnosed at any age with breast cancer or pancreatic cancer, who are not from families with a high risk of <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> mutation, but are affected with one of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Early onset breast cancer</li> <li>▪ Two breast primary cancers with the first cancer diagnosis occurring prior to age 50 years;</li> <li>▪ Triple negative breast cancer (neither express estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor, nor overexposure HER2) diagnosed at younger than age 60.</li> <li>▪ Two or more close blood relatives with pancreatic cancer at any age.</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p>C. Personal history of epithelial ovarian / fallopian tube / primary peritoneal cancer</p> <p>D. Personal history of pancreatic cancer at any age with ≥ 2 close blood relatives with breast and / or pancreatic cancer at any age breast cancer</p> <p>E. Personal history of male breast cancer</p> <p>F. Family history only –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Close family member meeting any of the above criteria</li> <li>2. Third-degree blood relative with breast cancer and /or ovarian / fallopian tube/ primary peritoneal cancer with ≥ 2 close blood relatives with breast cancer (at least one with breast cancer ≤50 years) and / or ovarian cancer.</li> </ol>

	<p>II. Testing for genomic rearrangements of the <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> genes (BART—BRAC Analysis Rearrangement Test) may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet criteria for <i>BRCA</i> testing, whose testing for point mutations is negative.</p> <p>III. Genetic testing when policy requirements are not met is experimental / investigational.</p> <p><u>Policy Guidelines</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Close family member is defined as a first, second, or third degree relative, which includes: Parent, Full Sibling, Half Sibling, Child, Grandparent, Great-Grandparent, Grandchild, Aunt, Great Aunt, Uncle, Great Uncle, Nephew, Niece, and First Cousin.</li> <li>2. For purposes of this policy, breast cancer includes both invasive and ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS).</li> <li>3. For individuals with family history only, an affected family member should be tested first whenever possible to identify specific site mutations.</li> <li>4. The maternal and paternal sides should be considered independently.</li> <li>5. Other malignancies reported in some HBOC families include prostate and melanoma.</li> <li>6. Individuals with limited family history, such as fewer than 2 first- or second-degree female relatives surviving beyond 45 years in either lineage, may have an underestimated probability of a familial mutation.</li> <li>7. Comprehensive mutation analysis currently includes sequencing the coding regions and intron/exon splice sites, as well as tests to detect common large deletions and rearrangements (BART—BRAC Analysis Rearrangement Test) that can be missed with sequence analysis alone. However, current routine laboratory testing for genomic rearrangement is more limited than the criteria noted in the policy statement; automatic testing is specified for those with a risk of <i>BRCA</i> mutation of at least 30%. In addition, prior to August 2006, testing for large deletions and rearrangements was not performed, thus some patients with familial breast cancer who had negative <i>BRCA</i> testing prior to this time may consider repeat testing for the rearrangements (see Policy statement for criteria). These rates are calculated using the Myriad II risk model (Available online at: <a href="http://www.myriadpro.com/brca-risk-calculator">www.myriadpro.com/brca-risk-calculator</a> ).</li> </ol> <p>Testing eligible individuals who belong to ethnic populations in which there are well characterized founder mutations should begin with tests specifically for these mutations (multisite testing)."</p> <p>Rationale section updated</p> <p>In Coding section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Updated nomenclature for CPT code: 81215</li> <li>▪ Updated nomenclature for ICD-9 codes: 174.8, 174.9, 175.9, 183.0, 198.6, 198.81, 233.0, V10.43, V16.41, V16.8</li> <li>▪ Added ICD-9 codes: 233.30, 233.39</li> <li>▪ Removed ICD-9 code: 233.3</li> <li>▪ Removed ICD-10 codes: C50.129, C50.229, C50.529, C50.819</li> </ul> <p>Removed Revision dates: 08-29-2006 effective 11-01-2-06, 10-31-2006 effective 01-01-2007, 11-23-2009, 10-08-2010, 09-02-2011.</p> <p>References updated</p>
04-02-2015	<p>Updated Description section</p> <p>In Policy section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In Item A, added "or With History of Cancer," to read, "Patients with Cancer or With History of Cancer"</li> <li>▪ In Item B, added "or Without History of Cancer," to read, "Patients Without Cancer or Without History of Cancer"</li> <li>▪ In Item B, added "dFor example, fewer than 2 1<sup>st</sup>- or 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree female relatives having lived beyond age 45 in either lineage. In families with a large number of unaffected female relatives, the likelihood of mutation detection may be very low.", and removed, "Unknown or limited family history / structure is defined as fewer than 2 first- or second degree female relatives having lived beyond age 45 in either lineage"</li> <li>▪ Removed Item C, "Testing for genomic rearrangements of the <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> genes may be considered medically necessary in patients who meet criteria for <i>BRCA</i> testing, whose testing for point mutations is negative."</li> <li>▪ Removed Item E, "Testing for <i>CHEK2</i> abnormality (mutations, deletions, etc.) is considered experimental / investigational in affected and unaffected patients with breast cancer, irrespective of family history."</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Added Item D, "Genetic testing in minors for <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> mutations is considered experimental / investigational."</li> <li>▪ Removed "NOTE: Clinical judgment should be used to determine if the patient has reasonable likelihood of a mutation, considering the unaffected patient's current age and the age of female unaffected relatives who link the patient with the affected relatives.", and "NOTE: Testing of unaffected individuals should only be considered when an appropriate affected family member is unavailable for testing."</li> <li>▪ In Policy Guidelines, removed, "4. <u>Comprehensive Mutation Analysis</u>. Comprehensive BRCA mutation analysis should be performed in patients with breast cancer, ovarian cancer, cancer of the fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eligible for testing, and</li> <li>• From families without a known deleterious BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation, and</li> <li>• Not from ethnic groups with known founder mutations."</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ In Policy Guidelines, added "9. <u>A Recommended Testing Strategy</u>. Patients who meet criteria for genetic testing as outlined in the Policy Statements above should be tested for mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2.             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. In patients with a known familial BRCA mutation, targeted testing for the specific mutation is recommended.</li> <li>B. In patients with unknown familial BRCA mutation:                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Non-Ashkenazi Jewish descent                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) To identify clinically significant mutations, NCCN advises testing a relative who has breast or ovarian cancer, especially with early-onset disease, bilateral disease, multiple primaries, or ovarian cancer, because that individual has the highest likelihood for a positive test result.</li> <li>b) If no living family member with breast or ovarian cancer exists, NCCN suggests testing first- or second-degree family members affected with cancer thought to be related to deleterious <i>BRCA1/BRCA2</i> mutations (e.g., prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, melanoma).</li> <li>c) If no familial mutation can be identified, two possible testing strategies are:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Full sequencing followed by testing for common large genomic rearrangements (deletions/duplications) only if sequencing detects no mutation (negative result).                                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ More than 90% of BRCA mutations will be detected by full sequencing.(4)</li> </ul> </li> <li>ii. Alternatively, simultaneous full sequencing and testing for common large genomic rearrangements (also known as comprehensive BRCA testing; see Comprehensive Mutation Analysis, below) may be performed as is recommended by NCCN.                                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Comprehensive testing can detect 92.5% of <i>BRCA1/BRCA2</i> mutations.(4)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>d) If comprehensive BRCA testing is negative, testing for uncommon large genomic rearrangements (e.g., BART™) may be done.                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Testing for uncommon large rearrangements should not be done unless both sequencing and testing for common large rearrangements have been performed and are negative.                                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Among patients with negative comprehensive testing, BART™ identified a deleterious mutation (positive result) in less than 1%.(4)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>C. Ashkenazi Jewish descent                     <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ In patients of known Ashkenazi Jewish descent, NCCN recommends testing for the 3 known founder mutations (185delAG and 5182insC in <i>BRCA1</i>; 6174delT in <i>BRCA2</i>) first.</li> <li>○ If testing is negative for founder mutations, comprehensive genetic testing may be considered (see Comprehensive Mutation Analysis, above)."</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<p>In Coding section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Removed CPT code 81406.</li> </ul>
	<p>Updated Rationale section.</p>
	<p>In Coding section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Removed CPT code 81406.</li> </ul>
	<p>Updated References section.</p>
<p>01-01-2016</p>	<p>Updated Description section.</p>
	<p>In Policy section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In Policy Guidelines, added paragraph on Genetic Counseling.</li> </ul>
	<p>Updated Rationale section.</p>
	<p>In Coding section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Added CPT code: 81162</li> </ul>

	Updated References Section.
	Added Appendix section.
01-04-2017	Updated Description section.
	Updated Rationale section.
	Updated References section.
03-17-2018	Updated Description section.
	In Policy section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Changed "mutation" to "variant" throughout policy language.</li> <li>▪ In Item A, added "Personal" to read, "Patients With Cancer or With Personal History of Cancer."</li> <li>▪ In Item A 2 c, added "pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer" to read, "One or more 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relative<sup>a</sup> with breast cancer (at any age), pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup>, or".</li> <li>▪ In Item A 6, added "Personal history of" and "at any age AND ≥2 or more 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relatives<sup>a</sup> with breast, pancreatic, or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup> at any age" to read, "Personal history of pancreatic or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup> at any age AND ≥2 or more 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relatives<sup>a</sup> with breast, pancreatic, or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup> at any age."</li> <li>▪ Removed previous Item C, "Unless the criteria above are met, genetic testing either for those affected by breast, ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer or for unaffected individuals, including those with a family history of pancreatic cancer, is considered experimental / investigational."</li> <li>▪ Added new Item C, "Genetic testing for <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> variants when criteria above are not met is considered experimental / investigational."</li> <li>▪ Updated Policy Guidelines.</li> </ul>
	Updated Rationale section.
	In Coding section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Removed ICD-9 codes.</li> </ul>
	Updated Revisions section.
01-01-2019	In Coding section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Added CPT codes: 81163, 81164, 81165, 81166, 81167.</li> <li>▪ Deleted CPT codes: 81211, 81213, 81214.</li> <li>▪ Revised nomenclature to CPT codes: 81162, 81212, 81215, 81216, 81217.</li> <li>▪ Added ICD-10 code: Z80.41.</li> </ul>
04-12-2019	Policy posted to the bcbsks.com website on 03-13-2019; effective 04-12-2019.
	Updated Description section.
	In Policy section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Removed previous policy language: "A. Patients With Cancer or With Personal History of Cancer Genetic testing for <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> variants in cancer-affected individuals may be considered medically necessary under any of the following circumstances: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Individual from a family with a known <i>BRCA1/BRCA2</i> mutation</li> <li>2. Personal history of breast cancer and ≥1 of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Diagnosed at age ≤45 years</li> <li>b. Two primary breast cancers when 1st breast cancer diagnosis occurred at age ≤50 years</li> <li>c. Diagnosed at age ≤50 years AND: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. One or more 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relative<sup>a</sup> with breast cancer (at any age), pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup>, or</li> <li>ii. Unknown or limited family history<sup>c</sup></li> </ol> </li> <li>d. Diagnosed at age ≤60 years with a triple negative (estrogen receptor–negative, progesterone receptor–negative, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2–negative) breast cancer</li> <li>e. Diagnosed at any age AND ≥1 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relative<sup>a</sup> with breast cancer diagnosed at ≤50 years</li> <li>f. Diagnosed at any age AND ≥2 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relative<sup>a</sup> with breast cancer at any age</li> <li>g. Diagnosed at any age AND ≥1 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relative<sup>a</sup> with epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer</li> <li>h. Diagnosed at any age AND ≥2 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relative<sup>a</sup> with pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup> at any age</li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> </ul>

	<p>i. 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree male relative with breast cancer</p> <p>j. Ethnicity associated with deleterious founder mutations, e.g., Ashkenazi Jewish descent<sup>d</sup></p> <p>3. Personal history of epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer</p> <p>4. Personal history of male breast cancer</p> <p>5. Personal history of pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer<sup>c</sup> at any age AND <math>\geq 1</math> 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relative<sup>a</sup> with any of the following:</p> <p>a. Breast cancer <math>\leq 50</math></p> <p>b. Ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer at any age</p> <p>6. Personal history of pancreatic or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup> at any age AND <math>\geq 2</math> or more 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relatives<sup>a</sup> with breast, pancreatic, or prostate cancer<sup>b</sup> at any age</p> <p>7. For pancreatic cancer, if Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry, only 1 additional affected relative is needed.</p> <p>B. Patients Without Cancer or Without History of Cancer (see Policy Guidelines: Testing Unaffected Individuals)</p> <p>Genetic testing for <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> variants of cancer-unaffected individuals may be considered medically necessary under any of the following circumstances:</p> <p>1. Individual from a family with a known <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> variant</p> <p>2. 1st- or 2nd-degree blood relative meeting any criterion listed above for Patients with Cancer</p> <p>3. 3rd-degree blood relative with breast cancer and/or ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer AND <math>\geq 2</math> 1st-, 2nd-, or 3rd-degree relatives<sup>a</sup> with breast cancer (<math>\geq 1</math> at age <math>\leq 50</math> years) and/or ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer</p> <p><sup>a</sup> For familial assessment, 1st-, 2nd-, and 3rd-degree relatives are blood relatives on the same side of the family (maternal or paternal).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1st-degree relatives are parents, siblings, and children</li> <li>• 2nd-degree relatives are grandparents, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews, grandchildren, and half-siblings</li> <li>• 3rd-degree relatives are great-grandparents, great-aunts, great-uncles, great-grandchildren, and first cousins.</li> </ul> <p><sup>b</sup> For familial assessment, prostate cancer is defined as Gleason score <math>\geq 7</math>.</p> <p><sup>c</sup> For example, fewer than 2 1st- or 2nd-degree female relatives having lived beyond age 45 in either lineage. In families with a large number of unaffected female relatives, the likelihood of variant detection may be very low.</p> <p><sup>d</sup> Testing for Ashkenazi Jewish or other founder mutation(s) should be performed first (see Policy Guidelines: High-Risk Ethnic Groups).</p> <p>C. Genetic testing for <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> variants when criteria above are not met is considered experimental / investigational.</p> <p>D. Genetic testing in minors for <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> variants is considered experimental / investigational.”</p> <p>▪ Added new policy language: “A. Patients With Cancer or With a Personal History of Cancer Genetic testing for <i>BRCA1</i> and <i>BRCA2</i> variants in cancer-affected individuals may be considered medically necessary under any of the following circumstances:</p> <p>1. Individual from a family with a known <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> variant</p> <p>2. Personal history of breast cancer and one or more of the following:</p> <p>a. Diagnosed at age <math>\leq 45</math> years</p> <p>b. Diagnosed 46 to 50 years with:</p> <p>i. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with breast cancer at any age</p> <p>ii. An unknown or limited family history<sup>c</sup></p> <p>iii. An additional breast cancer primary at any age</p> <p>iv. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with high grade (Gleason score <math>\geq 7</math>) prostate cancer</p> <p>c. Diagnosed <math>\leq 60</math> years with:</p> <p>i. Triple negative breast cancer</p> <p>d. Diagnosed at any age with:</p> <p>i. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Breast cancer diagnosed at <math>\leq 50</math> years; or</li> <li>○ Ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer; or</li> <li>○ Male breast cancer; or</li> <li>○ Metastatic prostate cancer; or</li> <li>○ Pancreatic cancer</li> </ul>
--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ii. <math>\geq 2</math> additional diagnoses of breast cancer at any age in patient and/or 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative</li> <li>e. Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry</li> <li>3. Personal history of ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer</li> <li>4. Personal history of male breast cancer</li> <li>5. Personal history of pancreatic cancer</li> <li>6. Personal history of metastatic prostate cancer</li> <li>7. Personal history of high-grade prostate cancer (Gleason score <math>\geq 7</math>) at any age with:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, pancreatic cancer, or metastatic prostate cancer at any age or breast cancer <math>\leq 50</math> years; or                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Two or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relatives with breast or prostate cancer (any grade) at any age; or</li> <li>b. Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>8. BRCA1 or BRCA2 pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant detected by tumor profiling on any tumor type in the absence of germline pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant analysis</li> <li>9. Regardless of family history, some individuals with an BRCA-related cancer may benefit from genetic testing to determine eligibility for targeted treatment</li> <li>10. An individual who does not meet the other criteria but with one or more 1<sup>st</sup>- or 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree blood relatives meeting any of the above criteria.</li> <li>B. Patients Without Cancer or Without History of Cancer (see Policy Guidelines: Testing Unaffected Individuals)             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Genetic testing for BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants of cancer-unaffected individuals may be considered medically necessary under any of the following circumstances:                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Individual from a family with a known BRCA1 or BRCA2 variant</li> <li>ii. 1<sup>st</sup>- or 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree blood relative meeting any criterion listed above for Patients With Cancer</li> <li>iii. 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with breast cancer and/or ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer AND two or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree relatives<sup>a</sup> with breast cancer (<math>\geq 1</math> at age <math>\leq 50</math> years) and/or ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><sup>a</sup> For familial assessment, 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, and 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree relatives are blood relatives on the same side of the family (maternal or paternal).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1<sup>st</sup>-degree relatives are parents, siblings, and children</li> <li>• 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree relatives are grandparents, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews, grandchildren, and half-siblings</li> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree relatives are great-grandparents, great-aunts, great-uncles, great-grandchildren, and first cousins.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. Genetic testing for BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants in cancer-affected individuals or of cancer-unaffected individuals with a family history of cancer when criteria above are not met is considered experimental / investigational.</li> <li>3. Genetic testing in minors for BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants is considered experimental / investigational.</li> </ul>
	Updated Rationale section.
	Updated References section.
	Removed Appendix section.
04-16-2021	<p>Updated Description section</p> <p>In Policy section:</p> <p>ITEM A</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Added underlined section to and removed the strikethrough text from Item A.2.b.:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>b. Diagnosed 46 to 50 years with:                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with breast cancer, <u>ovarian, pancreatic, or prostate cancer</u> at any age; <u>or</u></li> <li>ii. An unknown or limited family history; <u>or</u></li> <li>iii. An additional breast cancer primary at any age</li> <li><del>iv. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with high grade (Gleason score <math>\geq 7</math>) prostate cancer</del></li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Added underlined text to Item A.2.d.i:</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ <u>Metastatic or intraductal/cribriform prostate cancer, or high-risk group or very-high-risk group (see Policy Guidelines) prostate cancer;</u> or</li><li>● Added “at any age” to Item A.3. and A.5.</li><li>● Added underlined text to and removed the strikethrough text from Item A.6 and A.7:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. <u>Personal history of metastatic or intraductal/cribriform histology prostate cancer at any age; or high-risk group or very-high-risk group prostate cancer at any age</u></li><li>7. <u>Personal history of high-grade prostate cancer (Gleason score <math>\geq 7</math>) at any age with:</u><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. One or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer, pancreatic cancer, or metastatic <u>or intraductal/cribriform</u> prostate cancer at any age or breast cancer <math>\leq 50</math> years; or</li><li>b. Two or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relatives with breast or prostate cancer (any grade) at any age; or</li><li>c. Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry</li></ul></li></ul></li><li>● Added Item A.8. and Item A.9.</li></ul> <p>ITEM B</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● Added underlined section to and removed the strikethrough text from Item B.1.b, c, &amp; d:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>b. <u>An unaffected individual with a 1<sup>st</sup>- or 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree blood relative meeting any criterion listed above for Patients With Cancer (except individuals who meet criteria only for systemic therapy decision-making). If the individual with cancer has pancreatic cancer or prostate cancer (metastatic or intraductal/cribriform or high-risk group or very-high-risk group) then only first-degree relatives should be offered testing unless there are other family history indications for testing.</u></li><li>c. <u>An unaffected individual who otherwise does not meet the criteria above but has a probability <math>&gt;5\%</math> of a BRCA1/2 pathogenic variant based on prior probability models (e.g., Tyrer-Cuzick, BRCAPro, PennII)</u></li><li>d. <u>3<sup>rd</sup>-degree blood relative with breast cancer and/or ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer AND two or more 1<sup>st</sup>-, 2<sup>nd</sup>-, or 3<sup>rd</sup>-degree relatives<sup>a</sup> with breast cancer (<math>\geq 1</math> at age <math>\leq 50</math> years) and/or ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer</u></li></ul></li></ul> <p>POLICY GUIDELINES</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● Added underlined section to and removed the strikethrough text from policy guidelines 1, 2, and 3:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>11. Current U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) guidelines recommend screening women with <u>a personal or any family history of breast, ovarian, tubal, or peritoneal cancer or who have an ancestry associated with BRCA1/2 gene mutation</u>. Women with positive screening result <u>on the risk assessment tool</u> should receive genetic counseling and, if indicated after counseling, <u>BRCA testing (grade genetic testing (B Recommendation))</u>.</li><li>12. Recommended screening tools designed to identify a family history that may be associated with an increased risk for potentially harmful <u>variants mutations</u> in BRCA1 or BRCA2 are:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Ontario Family History Assessment Tool (FHAT)</li><li>○ Manchester Scoring System</li><li>○ Referral Screening Tool (RST)</li><li>○ Pedigree Assessment Tool (PAT)</li><li>○ Family History Screen (FHS-7)</li><li>○ <u>International Breast Cancer Intervention Study instrument (Tyrer-Cuziak)</u></li><li>○ <u>Brief versions of the BRCAPRO</u></li></ul></li><li>13. <u>Prostate Cancer Risk Groups: Risk groups for prostate cancer in this policy include high-risk groups and very-high-risk groups.</u></li></ul></li></ul>
--	--

	<p><u>High-risk group: no very-high-risk features and are T3a (American Joint Committee on Cancer staging T3a = tumor has extended outside of the prostate but has not spread to the seminal vesicles); OR Grade Group 4 or 5; OR prostate specific antigen of 20 ng/ml or greater</u></p> <p><u>Very-high-risk group: T3b-T4 (tumor invades seminal vesicle(s); or tumor is fixed or invades adjacent structures other than seminal vesicles such as external sphincter, rectum, bladder, levator muscles, and/or pelvic wall); OR Primary Gleason Pattern 5; OR 2 or 3 high-risk features; OR greater than 4 cores with Grade Group 4 or 5</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Added underlined section to and removed the strikethrough text from policy guidelines 4:<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>In patients with unknown familial <i>BRCA</i> variant:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Non-Ashkenazi Jewish descent<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) To identify clinically significant variants, National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) advises testing a relative who has <del>breast or ovarian cancer, especially with early-onset disease, bilateral disease, multiple primaries, or ovarian cancer</del>, because that individual has the highest likelihood of obtaining a positive test result. <u>Unless the affected individual is a member of an ethnic group for which particular founder pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants are known, comprehensive genetic testing (<i>i.e.</i>, full sequencing of the genes and detection of large gene rearrangements) should be performed</u></li><li>b) If no living family member with breast or ovarian cancer exists, NCCN suggests testing first- or second-degree family members affected with cancer thought to be related to deleterious <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> variants (e.g., prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, melanoma).</li><li>c) If no familial variant can be identified, two possible testing strategies are:<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. Full sequencing followed by testing for <del>common</del> large genomic rearrangements (deletions/duplications) only if sequencing detects no variant (negative result).<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ More than 90% of <i>BRCA</i> variants will be detected by full sequencing.</li></ul></li><li>ii. Alternatively, simultaneous full sequencing and testing for <del>common</del> large genomic rearrangements (also known as comprehensive <i>BRCA</i> testing; see Comprehensive Variant Analysis, below) may be performed as is recommended by NCCN.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Comprehensive testing can detect 92.5% of <i>BRCA1</i> or <i>BRCA2</i> variants.</li></ul></li></ol></li><li>d) If comprehensive <i>BRCA</i> testing is negative, testing for <i>uncommon</i> large genomic rearrangements (e.g., BART) may be done.<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. Testing for <i>uncommon</i> large rearrangements should not be done unless both sequencing and testing for <i>common</i> large rearrangements have been performed and are negative.<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Among patients with negative comprehensive testing, BART identified a deleterious variant (positive result) in less than 1%.</li></ul></li></ol></li></ol></li><li>2) Ashkenazi Jewish descent<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) In patients of known Ashkenazi Jewish descent, <del>NCCN recommends 1 approach is to test</del> for the 3 known founder mutations (185delAG and 5182insC in <i>BRCA1</i>; 6174delT in <i>BRCA2</i>) first.</li><li>b) If testing is negative for founder mutations, comprehensive genetic testing may be considered (see Comprehensive Mutation Analysis).</li><li>c) However, NCCN version 1.2021 states "However, with new panels available, many clinicians are moving away from this stepped approach and are increasingly using comprehensive testing"</li></ol></li></ol></li></ul></li></ul>
--	--

	Updated Rationale section In Coding section: Added CPT codes 81432, 81433, 0102U, 0103U, 0129U, 0131U, 0132U, 0134U, 0138U, and 0172U Added ICD-10 diagnosis codes C25.0, C25.1, C25.2, C25.3, C25.4, C25.7, C25.8, C57.00, C57.01, C57.02, C61, and Z13.71 Deleted ICD-10 diagnosis code Z80.8
	Updated References section
07-28-2021	In Policy section: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Item B.2 and B.3 were reformatted to become Item C and Item D.</li></ul>

## REFERENCES

1. Winchester DP. Breast cancer in young women. *Surg Clin North Am.* Apr 1996; 76(2): 279-87. PMID 8610264
2. Frank TS, Deffenbaugh AM, Reid JE, et al. Clinical characteristics of individuals with germline mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2: analysis of 10,000 individuals. *J Clin Oncol.* Mar 15 2002; 20(6): 1480-90. PMID 11896095
3. Langston AA, Malone KE, Thompson JD, et al. BRCA1 mutations in a population-based sample of young women with breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* Jan 18 1996; 334(3): 137-42. PMID 8531967
4. Malone KE, Daling JR, Thompson JD, et al. BRCA1 mutations and breast cancer in the general population: analyses in women before age 35 years and in women before age 45 years with first-degree family history. *JAMA.* Mar 25 1998; 279(12): 922-9. PMID 9544766
5. Ford D, Easton DF, Stratton M, et al. Genetic heterogeneity and penetrance analysis of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes in breast cancer families. The Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium. *Am J Hum Genet.* Mar 1998; 62(3): 676-89. PMID 9497246
6. Gershoni-Baruch R, Patael Y, Dagan A, et al. Association of the I1307K APC mutation with hereditary and sporadic breast/ovarian cancer: more questions than answers. *Br J Cancer.* Jul 2000; 83(2): 153-5. PMID 10901363
7. Warner E, Foulkes W, Goodwin P, et al. Prevalence and penetrance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 gene mutations in unselected Ashkenazi Jewish women with breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Jul 21 1999; 91(14): 1241-7. PMID 10413426
8. Hartge P, Struewing JP, Wacholder S, et al. The prevalence of common BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations among Ashkenazi Jews. *Am J Hum Genet.* Apr 1999; 64(4): 963-70. PMID 10090881
9. Hodgson SV, Heap E, Cameron J, et al. Risk factors for detecting germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 founder mutations in Ashkenazi Jewish women with breast or ovarian cancer. *J Med Genet.* May 1999; 36(5): 369-73. PMID 10353781
10. Moslehi R, Chu W, Karlan B, et al. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation analysis of 208 Ashkenazi Jewish women with ovarian cancer. *Am J Hum Genet.* Apr 2000; 66(4): 1259-1272. PMID 10901363
11. de Ruijter TC, Veeck J, de Hoon JP, et al. Characteristics of triple-negative breast cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* Feb 2011; 137(2): 183-92. PMID 21069385
12. Kandel MJ, Stadler D, Masciari S, et al. Prevalence of BRCA1 mutations in triple negative breast cancer (BC) [abstract 508]. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24(18S): 508.
13. Young SR, Pilarski RT, Donenberg T, et al. The prevalence of BRCA1 mutations among young women with triple-negative breast cancer. *BMC Cancer.* Mar 19 2009; 9: 86. PMID 19298662
14. Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Timms KM, Liu S, et al. Incidence and outcome of BRCA mutations in unselected patients with triple receptor-negative breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* Mar 01 2011; 17(5): 1082-9. PMID 21233401

15. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). BRCA1 and BRCA2 testing to determine the risk of breast and ovarian cancer. TEC Assessments. 1997;Volume 12:Tab 4.
16. Zhu Y, Wu J, Zhang C, et al. BRCA mutations and survival in breast cancer: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget*. Oct 25 2016; 7(43): 70113-70127. PMID 27659521
17. Nelson HD, Fu R, Goddard K, et al. Risk Assessment, Genetic Counseling, and Genetic Testing for BRCA- Related Cancer: Systematic Review to Update the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation. Evidence Synthesis No. 101 (AHRQ Publication No. 12-05164-EF-1). Rockville, MD Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2013.
18. Kuchenbaecker KB, Hopper JL, Barnes DR, et al. Risks of Breast, Ovarian, and Contralateral Breast Cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 Mutation Carriers. *JAMA*. Jun 20 2017; 317(23): 2402-2416. PMID 28632866
19. Begg CB. On the use of familial aggregation in population-based case probands for calculating penetrance. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. Aug 21 2002; 94(16): 1221-6. PMID 12189225
20. Thorlacius S, Struewing JP, Hartge P, et al. Population-based study of risk of breast cancer in carriers of BRCA2 mutation. *Lancet*. Oct 24 1998; 352(9137): 1337-9. PMID 9802270
21. King MC, Marks JH, Mandell JB. Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2. *Science*. Oct 24 2003; 302(5645): 643-6. PMID 14576434
22. Metcalfe K, Lynch HT, Ghadirian P, et al. Contralateral breast cancer in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers. *J Clin Oncol*. Jun 15 2004; 22(12): 2328-35. PMID 15197194
23. Mavaddat N, Peock S, Frost D, et al. Cancer risks for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: results from prospective analysis of EMBRACE. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. Jun 05 2013; 105(11): 812-22. PMID 23628597
24. Trainer AH, Meiser B, Watts K, et al. Moving toward personalized medicine: treatment-focused genetic testing of women newly diagnosed with ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. Jul 2010; 20(5): 704-16. PMID 20973257
25. Zhang S, Royer R, Li S, et al. Frequencies of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations among 1,342 unselected patients with invasive ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol*. May 01 2011; 121(2): 353-7. PMID 21324516
26. Kurian AW, Hughes, E., Handorf, E. A., et al. Breast and ovarian cancer penetrance estimates derived from germline multiple-gene sequencing results in women. *Precis Oncol*. 2017;1:1-12.
27. Langer LR, McCoy H, Kidd J, et al. Hereditary cancer testing in patients with ovarian cancer using a 25-gene panel. *J Community Supportive Oncol*. 2016;14(7):314-319.
28. Norquist BM, Harrell MI, Brady MF, et al. Inherited Mutations in Women With Ovarian Carcinoma. *JAMA Oncol*. Apr 2016; 2(4): 482-90. PMID 26720728
29. Harter P, Hauke J, Heitz F, et al. Prevalence of deleterious germline variants in risk genes including BRCA1/2 in consecutive ovarian cancer patients (AGO-TR-1). *PLoS One*. 2017; 12(10): e0186043. PMID 29053726
30. Hirst JE, Gard GB, McIllroy K, et al. High rates of occult fallopian tube cancer diagnosed at prophylactic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. Jul 2009; 19(5): 826-9. PMID 19574767
31. Powell CB, Swisher EM, Cass I, et al. Long term follow up of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers with unsuspected neoplasia identified at risk reducing salpingo-oophorectomy. *Gynecol Oncol*. May 2013; 129(2): 364-71. PMID 23391663
32. Hruban RH, Canto MI, Goggins M, et al. Update on familial pancreatic cancer. *Adv Surg*. 2010; 44: 293-311. PMID 20919528

33. Couch FJ, Johnson MR, Rabe KG, et al. The prevalence of BRCA2 mutations in familial pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* Feb 2007; 16(2): 342-6. PMID 17301269
34. Ferrone CR, Levine DA, Tang LH, et al. BRCA germline mutations in Jewish patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* Jan 20 2009; 27(3): 433-8. PMID 19064968
35. Holter S, Borgida A, Dodd A, et al. Germline BRCA Mutations in a Large Clinic-Based Cohort of Patients With Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* Oct 01 2015; 33(28): 3124-9. PMID 25940717
36. Shindo K, Yu J, Suenaga M, et al. Deleterious Germline Mutations in Patients With Apparently Sporadic Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* Oct 20 2017; 35(30): 3382-3390. PMID 28767289
37. Yurgelun MB, Chittenden AB, Morales-Oyarvide V, et al. Germline cancer susceptibility gene variants, somatic second hits, and survival outcomes in patients with resected pancreatic cancer. *Genet Med.* Jan 2019; 21(1): 213-223. PMID 29961768
38. Hu C, Hart SN, Polley EC, et al. Association Between Inherited Germline Mutations in Cancer Predisposition Genes and Risk of Pancreatic Cancer. *JAMA.* Jun 19 2018; 319(23): 2401-2409. PMID 29922827
39. Edwards SM, Kote-Jarai Z, Meitz J, et al. Two percent of men with early-onset prostate cancer harbor germline mutations in the BRCA2 gene. *Am J Hum Genet.* Jan 2003; 72(1): 1-12. PMID 12474142
40. Pritchard CC, Mateo J, Walsh MF, et al. Inherited DNA-Repair Gene Mutations in Men with Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* Aug 04 2016; 375(5): 443-53. PMID 27433846
41. Abida W, Armenia J, Gopalan A, et al. Prospective Genomic Profiling of Prostate Cancer Across Disease States Reveals Germline and Somatic Alterations That May Affect Clinical Decision Making. *JCO Precis Oncol.* Jul 2017; 2017. PMID 28825054
42. Walsh T, Casadei S, Coats KH, et al. Spectrum of mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2, CHEK2, and TP53 in families at high risk of breast cancer. *JAMA.* Mar 22 2006; 295(12): 1379-88. PMID 16551709
43. Palma MD, Domchek SM, Stopfer J, et al. The relative contribution of point mutations and genomic rearrangements in BRCA1 and BRCA2 in high-risk breast cancer families. *Cancer Res.* Sep 01 2008; 68(17): 7006-14. PMID 18703817
44. Nelson HD, Pappas M, Cantor A, et al. Risk Assessment, Genetic Counseling, and Genetic Testing for BRCA-Related Cancer in Women: Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *JAMA.* Aug 20 2019; 322(7): 666-685. PMID 31429902
45. Grann VR, Whang W, Jacobson JS, et al. Benefits and costs of screening Ashkenazi Jewish women for BRCA1 and BRCA2. *J Clin Oncol.* Feb 1999; 17(2): 494-500. PMID 10080590
46. Hartmann LC, Schaid DJ, Woods JE, et al. Efficacy of bilateral prophylactic mastectomy in women with a family history of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* Jan 14 1999; 340(2): 77-84. PMID 9887158
47. Menkiszak J, Rzepka-Gorska I, Gorski B, et al. Attitudes toward preventive oophorectomy among BRCA1 mutation carriers in Poland. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.* 2004; 25(1): 93-5. PMID 15053071
48. Moller P, Borg A, Evans DG, et al. Survival in prospectively ascertained familial breast cancer: analysis of a series stratified by tumour characteristics, BRCA mutations and oophorectomy. *Int J Cancer.* Oct 20 2002; 101(6): 555-9. PMID 12237897
49. Olopade OI, Artioli G. Efficacy of risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy in women with BRCA-1 and BRCA-2 mutations. *Breast J.* Jan-Feb 2004; 10 Suppl 1: S5-9. PMID 14984481

50. Rebbeck TR, Lynch HT, Neuhausen SL, et al. Prophylactic oophorectomy in carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. *N Engl J Med*. May 23 2002; 346(21): 1616-22. PMID 12023993
51. Weitzel JN, McCaffrey SM, Nedelcu R, et al. Effect of genetic cancer risk assessment on surgical decisions at breast cancer diagnosis. *Arch Surg*. Dec 2003; 138(12): 1323-8; discussion 1329. PMID 14662532
52. Finch AP, Lubinski J, Moller P, et al. Impact of oophorectomy on cancer incidence and mortality in women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. *J Clin Oncol*. May 20 2014; 32(15): 1547-53. PMID 24567435
53. Domchek SM, Friebel TM, Singer CF, et al. Association of risk-reducing surgery in BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation carriers with cancer risk and mortality. *JAMA*. Sep 01 2010; 304(9): 967-75. PMID 20810374
54. Elmi M, Azin A, Elnahas A, et al. Concurrent risk-reduction surgery in patients with increased lifetime risk for breast and ovarian cancer: an analysis of the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) database. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. Aug 2018; 171(1): 217-223. PMID 29761322
55. Li X, You R, Wang X, et al. Effectiveness of Prophylactic Surgeries in BRCA1 or BRCA2 Mutation Carriers: A Meta-analysis and Systematic Review. *Clin Cancer Res*. Aug 01 2016; 22(15): 3971-81. PMID 26979395
56. Ludwig KK, Neuner J, Butler A, et al. Risk reduction and survival benefit of prophylactic surgery in BRCA mutation carriers, a systematic review. *Am J Surg*. Oct 2016; 212(4): 660-669. PMID 27649974
57. Marchetti C, De Felice F, Palaia I, et al. Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy: a meta-analysis on impact on ovarian cancer risk and all cause mortality in BRCA 1 and BRCA 2 mutation carriers. *BMC Womens Health*. Dec 12 2014; 14: 150. PMID 25494812
58. Scheuer L, Kauff N, Robson M, et al. Outcome of preventive surgery and screening for breast and ovarian cancer in BRCA mutation carriers. *J Clin Oncol*. Mar 01 2002; 20(5): 1260-8. PMID 11870168
59. Mitra AV, Bancroft EK, Barbachano Y, et al. Targeted prostate cancer screening in men with mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 detects aggressive prostate cancer: preliminary analysis of the results of the IMPACT study. *BJU Int*. Jan 2011; 107(1): 28-39. PMID 20840664
60. Robson M, Goessl C, Domchek S. Olaparib for Metastatic Germline BRCA-Mutated Breast Cancer. *N Engl J Med*. Nov 02 2017; 377(18): 1792-3. PMID 29091556
61. Robson M, Im SA, Senkus E, et al. Olaparib for Metastatic Breast Cancer in Patients with a Germline BRCA Mutation. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 10 2017; 377(6): 523-533. PMID 28578601
62. Robson ME, Tung N, Conte P, et al. OlympiAD final overall survival and tolerability results: Olaparib versus chemotherapy treatment of physician's choice in patients with a germline BRCA mutation and HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. *Ann Oncol*. Apr 01 2019; 30(4): 558-566. PMID 30689707
63. Moore KN, Secord AA, Geller MA, et al. Niraparib monotherapy for late-line treatment of ovarian cancer (QUADRA): a multicentre, open-label, single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. May 2019; 20(5): 636-648. PMID 30948273
64. Pujade-Lauraine E, Ledermann JA, Selle F, et al. Olaparib tablets as maintenance therapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed ovarian cancer and a BRCA1/2 mutation (SOLO2/ENGOT-Ov21): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. Sep 2017; 18(9): 1274-1284. PMID 28754483
65. Mirza MR, Monk BJ, Herrstedt J, et al. Niraparib Maintenance Therapy in Platinum-Sensitive, Recurrent Ovarian Cancer. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 01 2016; 375(22): 2154-2164. PMID 27717299

66. Coleman RL, Oza AM, Lorusso D, et al. Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet*. Oct 28 2017; 390(10106): 1949-1961. PMID 28916367
67. Kristeleit RS, Oaknin A, Ray-Coquard I, et al. Antitumor activity of the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor rucaparib as monotherapy in patients with platinum-sensitive, relapsed, BRCA -mutated, high-grade ovarian cancer, and an update on safety. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. Nov 2019; 29(9): 1396-1404. PMID 31685558
68. Abida W, Patnaik A, Campbell D, et al. Rucaparib in Men With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Harboring a BRCA1 or BRCA2 Gene Alteration. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 10 2020; 38(32): 3763-3772. PMID 32795228
69. Litton JK, Rugo HS, Ettl J, et al. Talazoparib in Patients with Advanced Breast Cancer and a Germline BRCA Mutation. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 23 2018; 379(8): 753-763. PMID 30110579
70. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Genetic/Familial High Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian. Version 1.2021. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/genetics\\_bop.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/genetics_bop.pdf) Accessed October 2, 2020.
71. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. Version 1.2020. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf) Accessed October 6, 2020.
72. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Prostate Cancer. Version 1.2020. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf) Accessed October 7, 2020.
73. American Society of Clinical Oncology. American Society of Clinical Oncology policy statement update: genetic testing for cancer susceptibility. *J Clin Oncol*. Jun 15 2003; 21(12): 2397-406. PMID 12692171
74. Robson ME, Storm CD, Weitzel J, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology policy statement update: genetic and genomic testing for cancer susceptibility. *J Clin Oncol*. Feb 10 2010; 28(5): 893-901. PMID 20065170
75. Robson ME, Bradbury AR, Arun B, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology Policy Statement Update: Genetic and Genomic Testing for Cancer Susceptibility. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 01 2015; 33(31): 3660-7. PMID 26324357
76. Lancaster JM, Powell CB, Chen LM, et al. Society of Gynecologic Oncology statement on risk assessment for inherited gynecologic cancer predispositions. *Gynecol Oncol*. Jan 2015; 136(1): 3-7. PMID 25238946
77. Practice Bulletin No. 182 Summary: Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol*. Sep 2017; 130(3): 657-659. PMID 28832475
78. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Olaparib for maintenance treatment of BRCA mutation-positive advanced ovarian, fallopian tube or peritoneal cancer after response to first-line platinum-based chemotherapy.[TA598] August 2019. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta598/chapter/1-Recommendations>. Accessed October 14, 2020
79. Owens DK, Davidson KW, Krist AH, et al. Risk Assessment, Genetic Counseling, and Genetic Testing for BRCA-Related Cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA*. Aug 20 2019; 322(7): 652-665. PMID 31429903

## **Other References**

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Medical Advisory Committee meeting, November 3, 2005 (see Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Newsletter, Blue Shield Report. MAC-03-05).
2. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Oncology Liaison Committee CB, February 25, 2009.
3. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Family Practice, Internal Medicine, OB/GYN, and Surgery Liaison Committees CB, May 8, 2009.
4. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Surgery Liaison Committee, August 2005; August 2007; August 2008; August 2009; August 2010; August 2011; August 2013, August 2014; August 2015; February 2016, August 2018, January 2019, July 2021.
5. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Internal Medicine Liaison Committee, August 2008; August 2009; August 2015; February 2019, June 2020, August 2021.
6. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Family Practice Liaison Committee, July 2009; July 2010; July 2012; July 2014; July 2015, August 2019, June 2021.
7. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas OB/GYN Liaison Committee, July 2009; July 2010; July 2013, July 2014; July 2015; July 2018, January 2019, May 2020, July 2021.
8. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Pathology Liaison Committee, May 2010; May 2011; May 2014, May 2015, July 2019, May 2021.
9. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas Oncology Liaison Committee, February 2002, February 2009, February 2014; February 2015, May 2019.